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Preface

On 6 and 7 May 2009, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights considered the second periodic report of Brazil on the implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. In order to support the Committee’s work and to make available complementary information, the secretariat of the World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT) in Switzerland joined with Justiça Global and the National Movement of Street Boys and Girls (Movimento Nacional de Meninos e Meninas de Rua - MNMMR) in Brazil to develop this alternative report. It was submitted for the Committee’s consideration in April 2009.

This report has been produced in the context of the OMCT project “Preventing Torture and Other Forms of Violence by Acting on their Economic, Social and Cultural Root Causes” funded by the European Union’s European Initiative for Democracy and Human Rights, the Karl Popper Foundation, the InterChurch Organisation for Development Cooperation (ICCO) and the Foundation for Human Rights at Work. This project is founded on the principle of the interdependence of human rights and seeks to develop human rights responses that address the link between poverty and marginalisation on the one hand, and torture and violence on the other.

A preparatory mission to the state of Pernambuco was carried out by Eulange de Sousa and Maria Aparecida Pereira Martins on behalf of MNMMR and Michael Miller on behalf of OMCT from 9 to 15 February 2009. Special thanks to Paulo Valença Jr. of the Centro de Cultura Luiz Freire (CCLF) for organising the meetings in Recife and elsewhere in the state and for coordinating civil society contributions. Rafael Dias and Tamara Moreira Vaz de Melo from Justiça Global and Michael Miller from OMCT subsequently carried out a mission in the city of Rio de Janeiro from 15 to 17 February. Michael Miller was the principal author of this report. Tamara Moreira Vaz and Rafael Dias also contributed important chapters and offered feedback and support throughout the writing process.

Justiça Global, MNMMR and OMCT wish to express their gratitude to the experts and activists who took the time to share their knowledge and experience before, during and after the preparatory mission. In the state of Pernambuco these include: Alexandre Nápoles Filho and Sebastian Conan, Gabinete de Assessoria Jurídica às Organizações Populares (GAJOP); Ana
Paula Maravalho, Observatório Negro; Ana Paula Portella, SOS Corpo – Instituto Feminista Para a Democracia; Ceica Pitagurany, José Barbosa dos Santos and Júnior Pankaramu, Articulação dos Povos Indígenas do Nordeste, Minas Gerais e Espírito Santo (APOINME); Eleonora Pereira, Casa de Passagem, Santo Amaro – Centro Brasilierno da Criança e do Adolescente; Ingrid Leão, Comitê Latino-americano e do Caribe para a Defesa dos Direitos da Mulher (CLADEM Brasil); Joana Santos, Fórum de Mulheres Pernambuco; João Batista do Espírito Santo Júnior, Movimento Nacional de Meninos e Meninas de Rua, Pernambuco (MNMMR-PE); João José da Silva, Movimento de Luta Popular e Comunitário de Pernambuco (MLPC/PE); José Karajá, Observatório de Favelas; Marcos Lopes Bastos Freire, Associação Carnaubense de Apoio a Família (ACAF); Rejane Pereira, Cidadania Feminina/Fórum de Mulheres de Pernambuco; Rivane Arantes, Relatoria Nacional dos Direitos Humanos ao Trabalho/Centro de Cultura Luiz Freire; Rosana de Souza Brasil, Instituto Joanna de Ângelis (IJA); Sueli Nascimento Meireles, Associação Espírita Lar Transitório de Christie (AELTC); Wilma Melo, Serviço Ecumênico de Militância nas Prisões (SEMPRI); and the Associação de Mulheres di Agua Preta.

In the city of Rio de Janeiro we would particularly like to thank the following individuals and organisations: Andressa Caldas, Justiça Global; Joba Alves, Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra (MST); Márcia Adriana Fernandes, Centro de Estudios de Segurança e Cidadania/Associação pela Reforma Prisional (CESeC/ARP); Tomas Ramos, Projeto Legal; Grupo Tortura Nunca Mais (GTNM-RJ); Rede de Comunidades e Movimentos contra a Violência and interviewees at the Oficina de Direitos Humanos in Lapa, an initiative of Justiça Global and the State Legislator Marcelo Freixo, with the support of the Centre for the Defence of Human Rights, Pertópolis.

Finally, the staff of Justiça Global, MNMMR and OMCT would like to extend their sincere thanks to the many victims of violence with whom they met during the mission and who were prepared to share their personal experiences. We hope that this report goes some way to repaying their openness and courage.
1. **Addressing poverty, inequality and violence: “the very heart of human rights protection”**

**A. Introduction**

Today, there is no doubt that torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment and other forms of violence – including violence against women and children – are related in many ways to disrespect for economic, social and cultural rights.1 If, therefore, these phenomena are to be effectively eliminated, then their economic, social and cultural root causes must, first, be understood and, secondly, effectively addressed.2 The converse equally applies: acting to reduce levels of violence in a given society is a fundamental step towards ensuring the widespread enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights. As Louise Arbour, the former UN High Commissioner for Human Rights observed in her preface to the OMCT study on this theme, the question of “how to prevent or reduce violence, including torture, by acting on its root causes, often found in violations of economic, social and cultural rights”, is one that, “goes to the very heart of human rights protection”.3

- How the denial of economic, social and cultural rights is related to torture and other forms of violence
- The poor, excluded and other vulnerable groups are often the first and most numerous victims of violence, including torture and cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment.
- Levels of violence in a given community or society can be such that individuals or groups are unable to enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights.

1. See OMCT, Attacking the Root Causes of Torture: Poverty, Inequality and Violence – An Interdisciplinary Study, Geneva, 2006, www.omct.org. In his role as UN Special Rapporteur on the question of torture, Sir Nigel Rodley noted: “as long as national societies and, indeed, the international community fail to address the problems of the poor, the marginalized and the vulnerable, they are indirectly and, as far as the exposure to the risk of torture is concerned, directly contributing to the vicious circle of brutalization that is a blot on and a threat to our aspirations for a life of dignity and respect for all”; Interim Report of the Special Rapporteur of the Commission of Human Rights on the question of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, UN Doc. A/55/290, 11 August 2000, para. 37.
2. Of course, many other measures must be taken to eliminate torture in addition to addressing its economic, social and cultural root causes. These are dealt with in OMCT-sponsored alternative reports to the UN Human Rights Committee, the UN Committee Against Torture, the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child and the UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women.
• Violence is inflicted on persons because they demand respect for economic, social or cultural rights – their own or those of others.
• Policies and programmes by governments, private actors or development and financial institutions can exacerbate poverty and inequalities and lead to increased levels of official, criminal and domestic violence.

This report, prepared by Justiça Global, the National Movement of Street Boys and Girls (Movimento Nacional de Meninos e Meninas de Rua – MNMMR) and the World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT) is not intended to offer an exhaustive appraisal of the denial of economic, social and cultural rights in Brazil. Rather, it addresses some of the key areas where there is a clear and direct link between violations of economic, social and cultural rights and violence, or the threat of violence in this country. An important element of this report is the recommendations it contains for the Government of Brazil to address the economic, social and cultural root causes of torture and other forms of violence.

B. Listening to the people

In February 2009, two members of staff from MNMMR and one from OMCT carried out a preparatory mission to the state of Pernambuco in the Northeast region of Brazil (in particular, in and around the city of Recife). Subsequently, the OMCT staff member travelled to the city of Rio de Janeiro in the Southeast region of the country for a series of meetings organised by Justiça Global. The full schedule of meetings held during the ten-day preparatory mission is listed in Appendix 1.

The aim of the preparatory mission was twofold: first, to consult representatives of Brazilian civil society regarding the link between violence and the denial of economic, social and cultural rights in Brazil, and secondly, to meet and discuss with individuals whose lives have been directly affected by violence. Some of these were women victims of domestic violence, others had suffered violence at the hands of the police or had been the victims of shootings associated with gang violence in the neighbourhoods in which they live. Others had lost family members as a result of being caught up in lethal violence. Finally, a number of women with a partner or husband in prison spoke of the economic hardship and the social stigma their situation
brings upon them. Together, these interviews provide a testimony of the violence and insecurity that are a constant element in the lives of many of Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised citizens. In addition, they offer the opportunity for these individuals to express their views concerning the way in which the situation in Brazil might be improved.

C. The key elements of this report

This section offers a brief overview of the issues covered in this report and locates them in the context of the “criminalisation of poverty”, tracing the threads of a complex and challenging situation. It concludes with a summary of the key recommendations proposed by Justiça Global, MNMMR and OMCT.

The criminalisation of poverty

Violence is an inherent element of poverty in Brazil: it disproportionately affects the poorest communities, in urban and rural areas alike, and in turn reinforces this poverty. Furthermore, State actors engaged in law enforcement tend to “profile” the poor, and particularly the poor residents of Brazil’s favelas, as “criminals”. This identification is reinforced by media reports and even statements by public figures. The criminalisation of the poor justifies public security strategies that violate a range of human rights, including the right to life, as police engage in arbitrary actions against favela residents, particularly young, black males. Identified as criminals by the police, the poor are, at the same time, also victims of crime, and organised gangs control much of the economic resources in Brazil’s poor urban neighbourhoods.

Inequality and violence

This section discusses how those who are most affected by socio-economic inequality – and in particular Brazil’s black population – are also most likely to become victims of violence, especially lethal violence. The Government of Brazil recognises this challenge and has introduced a number of measures to improve the situation. One of these – the National Programme for Public Security and Citizenship (PRONASCI) – seeks to articulate public security policies with social action. The effectiveness of this
programme has yet to be gauged, although civil society has expressed some reservations concerning its structure and implementation.

**Police violence against the poor**

The criminalisation of poverty is both produced by, and serves as a justification for, a form of law enforcement based on social profiling and the identification of a “typical” suspect. Policing of this nature promotes indiscriminate violence over impartial investigation, and armed confrontation over community dialogue. As discussed in section 4 of this report, Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised communities live with the daily risk of being caught up in acts of lethal violence at the hands of the police. The UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions in his report on Brazil indicates that the police are responsible for one out of every five killings in the country. The police have also been involved in several high-profile militarised operations in the poorest areas of Brazil’s cities which, despite proving to be highly ineffective, have received the public support of President Lula’s administration.

**Militia control of poor urban areas**

In the last four to five years, another oppressive element in the lives of Brazil’s urban poor has emerged, particularly in Rio de Janeiro but also in other urban areas across the country. Ostensibly, the rise of informal or semi-formal militias has taken place in response to public fears concerning “criminality”, however, in practice these organisations are driven by strong economic interests. Composed of police and ex-police, together with prison guards, firefighters and others, Brazil’s militias occupy a grey area. Technically


5. Alston described them as “murderous and self-defeating”.

6. To take just one notable example, the Complexo do Alemão in Rio de Janeiro was the scene of a major police operation on 27 June 2007, which saw a 1,450- strong contingent of police and security force personnel engage in an 8-hour anti-gang operation in the streets of the neighbourhood. In the course of the operation, 19 civilians were killed and at least 9 were wounded. A total of 12 weapons were confiscated – significantly fewer than the number of civilian deaths. For further details, see Alston, Philip (2008) “Promotion and Protection of All Human Rights, Civil, Political, Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, including the Right to Development. Report of the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, Philip Alston. Addendum. Mission to Brazil”, UN Doc. A/HRC/11/2/Add.2, 23 March 2009.
illegal, but in practice long-tolerated, they enjoy a symbiotic relation with the police. Indeed, police operations against gangs in poor urban areas have often created a power vacuum which militias have filled and, once a militia group has assumed control of a neighbourhood, the police will not confront their “colleagues”. On the pretext of providing security and “protection”, the militias establish their own structures to exploit poor communities – engaging in extortion, taking over supplies of gas and the provision of cable television and the running of internet points. Control of local transport services is said to be a particularly lucrative activity for militias. In 2005, it was estimated that more than 100 poor urban communities were under militia control in the city of Rio de Janeiro.

Although pitted against each other, militias and organised criminal gangs alike represent the violent appropriation of the public space and the economic resources of Brazil’s urban poor by non-State actors. Ironically – given their negative impact on the enjoyment of the economic, social and cultural rights of Brazil’s poor urban residents – militias regularly conduct their operations from a centro social in the neighbourhood, which becomes a physical symbol of their domination of the community. It was also reported that areas of the city of Rio that are controlled by militias tend to attract young people in search of “employment opportunities” with these groups.

Economic, social and cultural rights and the prison system

The prison system, discussed in section 6, is a fundamental element in perpetuating the link between poverty and violence in Brazil and in feeding the phenomenon of the criminalisation of the poor. Poverty, inequality and violence, including institutional violence, not only lead to incarceration, but are also present within the walls of prisons and are generated around prison inmates and their families “on the outside”. Indeed, incarceration leads to serious social stigma for a prisoner’s family, and this, together with the economic impact of losing the detainees’s income-generating capacity, can lead to serious economic precariousness. If, prior to incarceration, a prisoner was employed in the formal sector, then his or her family has the right to claim a small amount of financial support (salário reclusão). If, on the other hand, he or she earned his or her income in the informal sector – the case for the majority of Brazil’s poorest citizens – there is no such support. In this sense, the model of imprisonment currently applied in Brazil only serves to reinforce discrimination and deepen inequality. There is a sad but simple
formula: the poor, classified a priori as “criminals” in an unsympathetic police and justice system, make up the majority of the prison population (and again we can add other overlapping identifiers such as “black” and “young”). In turn, incarceration only exacerbates their marginalisation and that of their families. While the law foresees education and training for prisoners to facilitate their reintegration in society, once again, in practice, this fails to work. In Rio de Janeiro, for example, the population of condemned prisoners in 2007 stood at 23,000, of whom only 510 were said to be receiving some form of training.

**Violence against women**

As is so often the case, women and children in poor communities are not only particularly vulnerable to violence, but also susceptible to experiencing this violence in ways specific to their gender and age. Section 7 of this report discusses how, in many cases, women, and poor women in particular, are tied to abusive partners by both financial dependency and, particularly in the North and Northeast regions of the country, by a traditional vision of women as being the property of men. Again, poverty is not the only factor. Statistics demonstrate that Brazilian women at greatest risk of being killed by their partner are not only “poor” but also “young” and “black”. Women’s vulnerability to violence is compounded by the challenges they face in seeking assistance. In particular, this report focuses on the response of the police, which has been described as “inefficient, disrespectful and, very often, violent.”

**Violence against human rights defenders and social movements**

The final dimension of the criminalisation of poverty discussed in this report refers to the strategies employed by the police and the justice system in Brazil to criminalise social movements and human rights defenders who seek to protect and promote the human rights of the poor, of women, of black Brazilians, of indigenous peoples and of quilombola communities. Many of the organisations that attended the one-day workshop in Recife

8. Quilombolas belong to ethnically or racially distinct groups whose origins are in part linked to communities formed by slaves who escaped from captivity prior to the abolition of slavery in 1888. They are characterised by a strong cultural identity, attachment to their customs and traditions, and commitment to maintaining their way of life. These communities have distinct links to specific territories.
for the preparation of this report considered that they were targeted by the State for their engagement in human rights, a claim that was echoed by the representatives of a number of the organisations we met with in Rio de Janeiro.

**D. Key recommendations**

A necessary precondition for the promotion of economic, social and cultural rights in Brazil is the establishment of the rule of law in areas where the State is effectively absent. This goal must be reached in a planned and systematic manner, and in full respect of human rights. It cannot be achieved by means of “mega-operations” and the application of the current model of policing based on aggressive confrontation and impunity for human rights violations. Rather, it calls for a new model of accountable policing with a strong community dimension.

The full set of recommendations for the Government of Brazil arising from this report, including measures to improve policing, are listed in section 10. They include recommendations to

- Adopt more comprehensive strategies for the protection of human rights defenders, including defenders of economic, social and cultural rights, together with ending impunity for violations affecting them, and unambiguously articulate support for activities in defence of human rights;
- Develop a holistic approach to end the discrimination and violence experienced by Brazil’s poorest citizens, including those in informal settlements and favelas. Necessary dimensions include economic and social development (employment creation, education initiatives, health services, enhancing the status of women, etc), enhancement of the rule of law (strengthening and training the judiciary, training the police and local administrators in human rights), the creation of space for the meaningful involvement of civil society and the participation of local communities, including in the process of monitoring. This initiative should be accompanied by the funds necessary for its effective implementation;
- Introduce measures, including positive discrimination in the fields of employment, training and education, to ensure that Brazilians of African descent, indigenous peoples, quilombola communities,
Brazilians who reside in informal settlements and favelas, and other groups vulnerable to socio-economic exclusion and violence enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights without discrimination of any kind. This should include ensuring that they have access to basic services, including water and sanitation, as well as to health and education services of an adequate quality;

- Identify and address specific cultural values that discriminate against and compromise the human rights of women and children, including their right to protection from all forms of violence, and create economic opportunities for women in order to promote their income-generating capacity and financial independence;

- Echoing the recommendation of the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, improve conditions of employment in the police services to discourage police corruption and take firm steps to eradicate the illegal practice of bico (second jobs to round up wages). Off-duty police should, under no circumstances, be permitted to work for private security firms. To facilitate such changes, the police should be paid significantly higher salaries, and the shift structure of police work should be reformed so that police cannot regularly work for large blocks of time and then receive multiple days off;

- Ensure the concrete implementation of the constitutional provisions related to land, and adopt an agrarian reform consistent with the principles enunciated under the Constitution. This reform should address land conflict, ensure equitable land distribution and guarantee access to land. Elaborate a national policy on the regularisation of land occupation and simplify the issuance of title deeds, including those related to rural settlements, indigenous lands and quilombola communities;

- Recognise that the high levels of violence in Brazilian society, including gang violence, police violence and violence against women and children, have a direct impact on the physical and mental health of many Brazilians, and provide adequate medical, counselling and support services in areas particularly affected by violence;

- Introduce reforms in the adult prison system and the juvenile detention system to ensure adequate living conditions for all prisoners, including youth in juvenile detention centres, and to provide appropriate education and training opportunities of sufficient quality to support
their reintegration into society and thus prevent prisons from serving as a source of further crime and violence;

- Take all necessary steps to implement fully and effectively Federal Law no. 11645/08 on the obligation to include the teaching of Afro-Brazilian and indigenous history and culture in all primary and middle schools as a means of overcoming prejudice towards Brazil’s citizens of African descent and indigenous peoples.

**E. Working with the Committee to bring about change**

At the end of its 42nd session, having considered Brazil’s second periodic report on the implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and after two days of dialogue and discussion with representatives of the Government of Brazil, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights issued a series of important and wide ranging concluding observations and recommendations. These are reproduced in full, in their advance unedited version, in chapter 11. A number of these recommendations are particularly relevant to the theme of the present report, and several clearly reflect the issues brought to the attention of the Committee by Justiça Global, MNMMR and OMCT. Under paragraph 8, for example, the Committee expresses its deep concern at

*the culture of violence and impunity prevalent in the State party.*

In this regard, the Committee is concerned about reports that human rights defenders, including those assisting individuals and communities in asserting their economic, social and cultural rights, are threatened, harassed and subjected to violence, frequently by private militias commissioned by private and public actors. The Committee is also deeply concerned about the reports of the failure of the Brazilian authorities to ensure the safety of human rights defenders and to prosecute those responsible for committing such acts.

This in turn leads the Committee to recommend that

*the State party take all necessary measures to combat the culture of violence and impunity prevalent in the State party and to ensure the protection of human rights defenders against any violence, threats, retaliation, pressure or any arbitrary action as a consequence of their activities. The Committee recommends that the State party*
improve its human rights training for law enforcement officials, especially police officers, and ensure that all allegations of human rights violations are promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent body capable of prosecuting perpetrators.

The Committee again explicitly addresses the issue of violence in expressing its concern that, “negative gender roles persist, including the representation of women as sex objects and the traditional stereotypes of women in the family and in society, and that these may render women more vulnerable to domestic and other forms of violence”. Under paragraph 14, it urges the Government of Brazil to

enforce its legislation on gender equality, and to take all effective measures, including through the use of media and education, to overcome the traditional stereotypes regarding the status of women in the public and private spheres and to ensure, in practice, equality between men and women in all fields of life [...] .

The Committee also raises the issue of domestic violence in paragraph 21, in which it recommends that

the State party strengthen measures to combat violence against women and its effects by, inter alia: (a) effectively enforcing the existing legislation on domestic violence; (b) strengthening public awareness campaigns against domestic violence; and (c) strengthening support for victims of domestic violence in order to ensure their access to adequate services for recovery, counselling and other forms of rehabilitation.

Likewise, the Committee is concerned at, “the prevalence of sexual abuse of and violence against children, particularly girls, and at the absence of information on measures taken to address this phenomenon or to assist child victims of abuse”. Among its recommendations, it urges the Brazilian Government to, “implement its measures against child sexual abuse, especially the abuse of girls, through, inter alia, monitoring, reporting, prosecution as well as through information campaigns targeting parents, communities and children” (para. 22).

Regarding the harassment and, indeed, murder of trade union...
leaders, in paragraph 17 the Committee, “recommends that the State party take adequate measures to ensure the protection of trade union members and leaders from all forms of harassment and intimidation and thoroughly investigate reports alleging any form of violence”.

The Committee also echoes concerns contained in this report regarding the land reform process and the demarcation of land belonging to indigenous peoples, calling on the Government to “expeditiously complete the process of demarcation and allocation of indigenous land” (para. 9). It is equally concerned about the persistent inequalities in economic conditions and associated social injustice prevailing in Brazil and calls on the State party to, “intensify its efforts to reduce inequalities and social injustice between different regions, communities and individuals” (para. 10). The Committee also recommends that the State party, “take all appropriate measures to address the discrepancy between the life expectancies and poverty levels of the black and white population groups through a sharper focus on health and poverty eradication programmes for the former” (para. 11).

Other issues raised by the Committee in its concluding observations that are relevant to the issue of violence in Brazilian society and the criminalisation of poverty include the persistence of racial inequalities in access to employment (para. 16), the high number of people employed in the informal economy (para. 19), the limitations of the Family Grant Programme (Bolsa Familia) (para. 20), the widespread nature of child labour (para. 23), the vulnerability to abuse and exploitation of children living on the street (para. 24), and the large number of people living in precarious urban settlements (para. 25).

The Committee’s recommendations are intended to ensure that every Brazilian citizen enjoy his or her full range of rights as laid out in the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. While the obligation to implement these recommendations lies squarely with the Government of Brazil, Brazilian civil society, with the support of international partners, assumes the task of monitoring this implementation and updating the Committee on the progress made.

In the context of the present report, it is encouraging to note that the Government of Brazil recognises the importance of taking steps to address the issue of violence in Brazilian society and, in particular, the phenomenon that sees certain social movements criminalised for their activities. In his opening statement to the Committee on 6 May 2009, Paulo Vannuchi, Minister of the President of the Republic’s Special Secretariat
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for Human Rights, confirmed that, “[...] many of the denunciations made regarding violence in Brazil and the criminalisation of social movements are particularly relevant, and they lead public powers to take corrective action”.9 The chapters that follow analyse this violence, address its root causes and make concrete recommendations as to how the Brazilian Government should proceed with “corrective action” in the full respect of its citizens’ human rights.

9. “[...] muchas de las denuncias hechas sobre la violencia en Brasil y sobre la criminalización de los movimientos sociales son de las más relevantes y inducen los poderes públicos a tomar actitudes correctivas”. Discurso de su excelencia, el Ministro de la Secretaría Especial de los Derechos Humanos de la Presidencia de la República de Brasil, Paulo Vannuchi. Presentación del II Informe Nacional Brasileño referente al Pacto de Derechos Económicos, Sociales y Culturales de las Naciones Unidas, Geneva, 6 May 2009, p. 16.
2. The criminalisation of poverty: an overview

It is all but impossible to consider poverty, inequality and, indeed, the enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights in general in Brazil without addressing the question of violence. In short, violence in Brazil is not incidental to poverty and inequality, but rather an inherent element of these phenomena. To be poor is not only to struggle to obtain adequate education and health services, or to find paid work in the formal sector; it is also to face the constant risk or repercussions of violence in the home and on the streets. In turn, this violence reinforces social exclusion — children are afraid to go to school, communities are forced off their land, a lack of opportunities pushes youth into drug trafficking and other illegal activities, and men and women “tainted with the crime associated with their area of residence”\textsuperscript{10} are unable to find work. The constant presence of violence in its various forms also has a direct impact on the health of those it touches. During the preparatory mission, Patricia, a resident of the neighbourhood of Lins de Vasconcelos in Rio and a mother of three, described how she had been raped as a child, how her father had been shot and how, 13 years ago, her 3-year-old son had been killed by a bala perdida — a stray bullet — in her home. As a result of these experiences, she suffers from severe anxiety and panic attacks and is unable to read a newspaper or watch television. She is now receiving outpatient treatment in a psychiatric hospital. Her condition has caused her to lose her job, and she explained that she can no longer afford gas for cooking and instead uses firewood. She underlined that, in any case, she does not know where the next meal for her and her children will come from.

The cycle of poverty and violence can be observed across Brazil in a range of circumstances. It is, for example, clearly evident in Amazonia, where logging interests direct violent force against indigenous communities and others who oppose deforestation and the encroachment of commercial activities on their territories. It is equally clear in agricultural areas of the country such as the state of Mato Grosso do Sul where the promotion of monocultures including soya and sugarcane by both national and international companies is driving agricultural workers off the land, and where labourers employed by agribusinesses often endure conditions that are consistent with

contemporary forms of slavery. Mato Grosso do Sul is also one of the states where violence against indigenous peoples is most prevalent.

In this report, the economic, social and cultural root causes of violence and, indeed, the impact of violence on the possibility of enjoying economic, social and cultural rights, are examined from the specific perspective of “the criminalisation of poverty”, with a particular emphasis on Brazil’s urban areas. The term “criminalisation of poverty” was frequently used both by representatives of civil society and by victims of violence in the course of interviews and meetings carried out during the preparatory mission. It refers to a clear and observable phenomenon that sees the poorest members of Brazilian society identified by State and quasi-State actors (the police, and in particular the military police, elements of the legal system, prison guards and militias) as criminal, or potentially criminal elements and on that basis being targeted for extortion, arbitrary arrest and detention, physical violence or, indeed, summary execution.

While poverty is an important social identifier in this construction of criminality in Brazil, it is not the only one. To be “poor” is compounded by a number of other overlapping descriptors, including “young” and “black”. The issue of racial discrimination is often overlooked in Brazil, although it is present throughout Brazilian society: it is estimated, for example, that Afro-Brazilians earn less than 50 per cent of the average earnings of other Brazilians.

Another important element feeding into the criminalisation of poverty in Brazil relates to a person’s place of residence. Some of the country’s most disadvantaged citizens reside in Brazil’s informal or semi-formal urban settlements – the favelas. These areas are largely devoid of State power, and violence is a common occurrence. For many Brazilians, favelas are inextricably associated with criminality, but while the favelas are indeed home to criminals including, in some cases, organised gangs, these elements constitute a minority of the population. The association of favelados (residents of the favelas) with criminality, however, fuels oppressive and violent police action against entire communities: in every major city in Brazil, the majority

---

11. While this report focuses in particular on two large cities – Rio de Janeiro and Recife – it is important to note that violence is present in all urban settlements, both large and small. Indeed, violence may be particularly acute in small, isolated urban centres where fewer support services are available, facilities are less developed, the political landscape is less well developed, public scrutiny is less present and awareness of legal entitlements and human rights is lower.

of victims of police violence are residents of the favelas. Among the songs used during the training of the state of Rio de Janeiro’s Military Police Special Operations Battalion (Batalhão de Operações Policiais Especiais – BOPE), there is one which includes the words: “Interrogation is very easy to do, take a favelado and beat him till it hurts; interrogation is very easy to end, take a favelado and beat him till he’s dead.”

The association of certain economic and social categories with criminality is further reinforced by media depictions of poverty. Santo Amaro, a favela area of Recife, is frequently referred to in the press as the “bairro do crack” (the crack neighbourhood), and even “Crackolandia”, in a manner that associates all its residents with the drug trade. Certain politicians have also had a role in promoting or reinforcing these ideas. In an interview on 22 October 2007, Sérgio Cabral Filho, Governor of the state of Rio de Janeiro, commented on the benefits of legalising abortion as a means of decreasing criminality: “If you take the number of children per mother in Lagoa Rodrigo de Freitas, Tijuca, Méier and Copacabana [white middle class areas

*Against the Caveirão, For Health and Education* - The “Caveirão”, an armoured car used by the police to enter the favelas in Rio de Janeiro, is a symbol of the frequent killings by police in these areas (“Caveirão” literally means “Big Skull”). Inspired by the Mello-Yello - used by the South African government during apartheid - the “Caveirão” reflects the perspective of most government officials in Brazil, who see poor neighbourhoods as “conflict areas” and “enemy territories”
of the city of Rio de Janeiro], it’s Swedish standards. Now, take Rocinha [the largest favela in Brazil, located in the south of Rio]. It’s Zambia or Gabon. It’s a factory for producing delinquents.”  


14. “[O Rio vive] uma cultura [da violência] que o marginal traz do ventre da sua mãe.” Beltrame was participating in a debate on public security organised by the newspaper Extra. When criticised for the extremity of his statement, he offered the following explanation: “What is extreme is a woman who is walking in the street with her child and has to pass by people with grenades and rifles. For decades Rio de Janeiro was built on this. This is what I wanted to say”, reported in Folha de S. Paulo, Folha online, 29 July 2008, 23:10, available at: www1.folha.uol.com.br/folha/cotidiano/ult95u427571.shtml

15. Between January and July 2007, 694 persons died as a result of police actions, while the same period in 2008 saw 757 deaths of this kind. All figures are from the Instituto de Segurança Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, www.isp.rj.gov.br
services and “security” to the residents of informal settlements. They engage in extortion of residents and businesses, impose their own “laws” and mete out their own form of justice. The presence of these gangs therefore impacts on both the safety of local communities and their economic wellbeing. In his report on his mission to Brazil, the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions describes “a context of significant organized crime” and confirms that gang activity is often motivated by economic interests:

*If a monopoly on criminal activity and a near monopoly on violence can be established within a particular area, an organization can: (a) effectively demand protection fees from businesses and “taxes” from residents; (b) prevent residents from informing the police of their activities, and thereby safely hide themselves, drugs, and ammunition; and (c) impose on the residents any other rules that will facilitate their criminal activities.¹⁶*

The violence that is frequently directed at Brazil’s urban poor only serves to exacerbate their socio-economic marginalisation. Generally, they face a lack of employment opportunities and a dearth of services, and where such services exist, their quality is poor. The relationship between socio-economic opportunity and violence is discussed in section 3 of this report.

In the course of the preparatory mission, when asked what they considered to be the crucial elements required to break the cycle of violence and poverty in Brazil’s informal settlements, residents of these areas consistently identified: (i) the creation of appropriate employment opportunities in the formal sector; and (ii) the provision of quality education, including qualified teachers and adequate materials. For this to happen, the rule of law must be established – in full respect of human rights – in Brazil’s poor urban areas, but it is widely held that the current structure, training and modus operandi of Brazil’s police forces are wholly inadequate for this task.

3. Inequality and violence in Brazil

A. Socio-economic inequality

Brazil, an increasingly important international player with a Gross Domestic Product of US$1,314.2 billion in 2007, remains a country marked by serious inequality. It is estimated, for example, that between 1995 and 2005, the combined income of the poorest 40 per cent of households in the country accounted for only 6 per cent of the share of total household income, while the richest 20 per cent of households accounted for as much as 61 per cent of Brazil's total household income in this period (the global average for the same period was 19 per cent and 42 per cent). In figures reported by the World Bank in 2008, it was estimated that 22 per cent of Brazil’s population lived below the national poverty line.

There are distinct gender and racial dimensions to Brazil’s inequality, and the country’s black, indigenous and quilombola populations are particularly vulnerable to economic exclusion. In its 2008 analysis of the living standards of the Brazilian population, the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) indicated that of the country’s illiterate population of just over 14 million, almost 9 million were of African descent. Moreover, educational discrepancies between the white population and the population of African descent tend to widen as the educational level rises. In the 15 to 17 age group, 85.2 per cent of white youth are studying, and of these, 58.7 per cent are engaged in the school level appropriate for their age group (ensino médio). Among youth of the same age of African descent, 79.8 per cent attend school, but only 39.4 per cent of these attend ensino médio. In the 18 to 24 age group, this difference becomes even more marked: 57.9 per cent of white youth in this group attend polytechnic or university (ensino superior), compared to only 25 per cent of the youth of African descent.

Educational differences are, in turn, played out in terms of earnings: the average earnings of a Brazilian of African descent are around 50 per cent that of a white Brazilian. And this difference is not fully explained by educational

19. The IBGE uses the terms “preta” and “parda” to describe this population.
achievement: when comparing hourly wage rates according to years of study, white Brazilians consistently earn more than Brazilians of African descent of the same educational background. Another way of looking at this is according to family incomes in the poorest 10 per cent and the richest 1 per cent of the population. The white population accounts for little more than 25 per cent of the total income of Brazil’s poorest families, but as much as 86 per cent among the richest. At the same time, the population of African descent contributes 74 per cent of the income of the poorest families, and only 12 per cent of the income of the richest.21

Brazil’s economic disparities also have a clear geographical dimension: poverty rates are particularly high in the North and Northeast regions of the country and relatively low in the more industrialised South and Southeast regions. Brazil’s inequality is not only explained by regional disparities, since severe inequality is also to be found within both regions and metropolitan areas. Many of the urban poor are to be found on the peripheries of Brazil’s cities – in areas that are also characterised by lower educational levels, poorer health, poor employment conditions and higher levels of malnutrition.22 Indeed, Brazil’s high level of urbanisation – 85 per cent in 200723 – presents a serious challenge in terms of ensuring services and employment and providing infrastructure for the country’s urban population.

The socio-economic polarisation of Brazil’s population has, to some extent, been reduced by reforms introduced under the presidency of Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva, whose first term in office began in 2003. Unemployment has declined, poverty has been reduced and income inequality is improving. Nonetheless, Brazil still has one of the most inequitable income distributions in the world.

B. Levels of violence

As regards the prevalence of violence in Brazil, and if we take homicide as an indicator of violent crime in general,24 Brazil’s overall rate is notoriously high. The UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or

24.Homicide is generally recognised as being associated with other types of crime and violence.
arbitrary executions reports that homicide is the leading cause of death for persons aged 15 to 44 years. Between 1980 and 2002, the homicide rate (per 100,000 residents) nearly tripled – to a peak in 2002 of 30.4 (a total of 49,640 homicides in that year). The figures dropped slightly in the following years, to 28.3 in 2004, 27 in 2005, and \(^{25}\) in 2006, but remain well above the world average (8.8 per 100,000 in 2000, excluding war-related deaths, according to WHO). Nationwide, nearly 70 per cent of murders involve firearms.\(^{25}\)

A study in 2005 indicated that there were around 17 million firearms in the country, of which 90 per cent were in private hands. In turn, 25 per cent of these – or 3.8 million weapons – are estimated to be in criminal hands.\(^{26}\)

According to research by the Institute for Applied Economic Research (Ipea) and the Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management (MPOG), in 2004, the total cost of violence in Brazil, including the cost of caring for victims and repairing damage, amounted to R$90 billion (US$405 billion at today’s rates), or 5 per cent of the country’s gross domestic product.\(^{27}\)

The state of Pernambuco in the Northeast region has one of the highest homicide rates in the country (53 per 100,000 in 2005), but it is important to recognise that the real homicide rate for certain other states in Brazil, including Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo, is significantly higher than the official statistics indicate because, unlike Pernambuco, they do not include on-duty killings by police. The UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions reports that in Rio de Janeiro (where the homicide rate was 40.7 in 2006), on-duty police kill three people every day and are responsible for nearly 18 per cent of the total killings.\(^{28}\)

If these figures were included in the homicide statistics, the state of Rio de Janeiro would have a homicide rate similar to that of Pernambuco. Brazil’s metropolitan areas tend to report still higher rates of homicide: in 2005, the rate in Vitória in the state of Espírito Santo was as high as 78.2 per 100,000,

while the rate in Recife was 76.7, in Rio de Janeiro it was 62.6 and in São Paulo it was 51.7.29

It is no surprise to find that patterns of violence in Brazil tend to reflect patterns of socio-economic exclusion, both regionally and in terms of vulnerable groups. The Northeast region of Brazil has the highest rate of homicide in general, as well as the highest rate of homicide with a firearm, while the South has the lowest. Similarly, the Northeast region has the highest rate of homicide by all other types of arms, while the Southeast has the lowest rate for this category of homicide.

Discrimination, poor quality education and a lack of opportunities inevitably lead a proportion of Brazil’s youth – and poor young men in particular – to turn to crime, be it street crime or more organised criminal activities. In some cases, engaging in crime is perceived as a means to achieve both economic and social status in a world that otherwise offers few opportunities to make one’s mark. In other cases, there is no conscious choice to engage in criminal activity; young people in Recife recounted how, for example, drug dealers give supplies of drugs to children for safekeeping, and parents know that if they make a report to the police there will be lethal consequences. This strategy allows the dealer to reinforce and extend drug trafficking networks within a given neighbourhood by drawing in new recruits, reinforce control over the residents of this neighbourhood through intimidatory tactics, and spread the risk of holding significant supplies of drugs. Children under 12 years of age, and hence below the minimum age of criminal responsibility, are also attractive recruits for drug dealing networks because, should they be stopped by the police, they cannot be charged. Inevitably, this creates the real risk that the police will resort to illegal measures to “punish” children or to obtain information from them. Similarly, and in keeping with international standards, youth between the ages of 12 and 18 are subject to Brazil’s juvenile system and risk no criminal record. Youth in this age group who are apprehended by the police do, however, run the risk of violence at the hands of the police, and of being assigned to special facilities for juveniles, in which conditions are frequently even worse than those in adult prisons.

Regarding victims of violence, there are still no unified statistics in Brazil, although the IBGE is currently working on just such a project. Nonetheless, there are sufficient data to confirm that the victims of homicide

are overwhelmingly young, male, black and poor. Between 1993 and 2002, the number of young people between the ages of 15 and 24 who were murdered in Brazil increased by 88.6 per cent, while the number of murders in the population in general grew by 62.3 per cent (compared to a population growth of 15.2 per cent over the same period). The murder rate among Brazilians of African descent aged between 15 and 24 in 2002 was 68.4 per 100,000 inhabitants: 74 per cent higher than the level for white Brazilians of the same age. In Pernambuco, the chances of a black youth becoming a victim of homicide are five times greater than that of a white youth.

There are twice as many black homicide victims in Brazil as there are white, and this ratio holds for men and women alike. In 2006, 29,191 black Brazilians were murdered compared to 15,220 white Brazilians, and while the risk of becoming a victim of homicide is decreasing slightly among the white population, it remains stable among the black population. Among black women, the risk of falling victim to homicide is increasing: in 2000, the homicide rate among black women was 20 per cent higher than that of white women, while by 2006, the homicide rate among black women was 71

31. Ibid., p. 56.
32. Ibid., p. 58.
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Educational level is also a factor: based on figures from 2003, the risk of death by homicide in the 15 to 59 age group was significantly higher among persons with less than four years of schooling. Among white Brazilians with less than four years of schooling, the risk of being murdered increases 2.3 times, while among the black population, this risk increases 2.9 times.

The UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions confirms that high crime and homicide rates disproportionately affect the poorer classes, particularly those in favelas, and points to a strong negative correlation between average income and homicide rates. In some cities, the homicide rate in the poorest neighbourhoods is 4.5 times that of wealthy areas. The Special Rapporteur quotes figures for the city of Rio de Janeiro from the Instituto de Segurança Pública that demonstrate that, between 2000 and 2005, the poor areas of Zona Norte 2 and Baixada had homicide rates of 56.8 and 55.2 per 100,000 inhabitants respectively, while the wealthy area of Zona Sul had a rate of 12.6.

The discrepancies between wealthy and poor urban neighbourhoods, both as regards socio-economic conditions and the prevalence of violence, are often enhanced by the physical proximity of these areas. In many cities, and most notably in Rio de Janeiro, wealthy neighbourhoods and favelas exist side by side, heightening middle-class concerns regarding insecurity and encouraging the engagement of private security companies, the creation of gated communities protected by security fences, the construction of enclosed malls and the abandonment of public spaces. Residents of Santo Amaro in Recife told how, when the large Tacaruna Shopping Centre was constructed on adjoining land, a 2-metre wall was erected around the boundaries of their neighbourhood, in addition to the security perimeter constructed around the shopping centre. They regard this initiative as a clear form of spatial – and visual – exclusion.

C. The Government’s response to poverty and violence

Brazil has proven to be relatively effective in terms of developing

34. Ibid.
legal frameworks and public policies to address economic, social and cultural issues and, indeed, it is frequently held up as an international model in this respect. During the preparatory mission, however, it was frequently stated that the Government has often struggled to implement these laws and policies, with the result that few have had the desired impact on the lives of Brazil’s citizens. Similarly, channels for the effective participation of civil society in these policies are often lacking, as is transparency in the process of policy development. For example, Brazil’s commitment to participatory budgets is widely admired and, to an extent, this admiration is warranted. At the same time, civil society organisations report that, in practice, the necessary mobilisation of the full range of actors who should be involved in the budget-making process is frequently overlooked, and that budgetary decisions are ultimately taken in camera.

As regards poverty alleviation, one of the best-known initiatives is the Bolsa Familia. This is effectively a conditional cash transfer programme that provides a monthly financial contribution to families that send their children to school (up to a maximum of three children). The Bolsa Familia was frequently cited by individuals interviewed during the preparatory mission as the sole means by which they could guarantee being able to provide food and clothing for their children, but while this mechanism is certainly important in supporting the basic subsistence of Brazil’s poorest citizens, it is the output of a social policy that is only partially developed. In practice, the Bolsa Familia remains an isolated initiative, unaccompanied by complementary measures that would introduce a necessary sustainable dimension.

In 2007, President Lula announced the Programa de Aceleração do Crescimento (PAC), a programme intended to accelerate the country’s economic growth. PAC focuses on public investment in key sectors of the economy and includes the creation of a fund for infrastructure projects, together with tax cuts in certain sectors intended to generate investment. The support provided by PAC for infrastructure projects and other large developments has provoked serious concern as regards human rights violations, particularly among indigenous and quilombola communities who have found themselves displaced – often forcibly – to make way for hydroelectric schemes and other developments. PAC has also promoted much-needed investment in infrastructure in Brazil’s favelas; however, once more, real community participation has been lacking in these initiatives, and in certain cases they have led to displacement and forced evictions.
Initiatives to improve security and reduce violence have included measures to reduce the number of firearms on the streets, including the Disarmament Statute, Law no. 10,826/03 of 2003, which made it an offence to carry a gun without a permit and required all guns to be registered. More recently, an important step was taken to promote the protection of women from violence with the approval of Federal Law no. 11,340, known as the Maria da Penha Law, on 7 August 2006. This Law defines violence against women, identifies the appropriate services to address this issue and establishes mechanisms against the impunity of the perpetrator. It includes an increase in the maximum sentence for those responsible for domestic violence from one to three years as well as measures such as removing the abuser from home, banning him or her from the proximity of the victim, or imposing preventive imprisonment when threats have been made against a woman’s physical integrity. The introduction of the Law has, in addition, been important in raising awareness of the issue of violence against women in Brazilian society. It has also had an impact on the procedures in police stations: from October 2006 to May 2007, 32,630 inquiries were initiated – an average of 177 inquiries for every station specialised in addressing violence against women (Delegacia Especializada de Atendimento a Mulher).

As for addressing the root causes of the violence that permeates Brazilian society, the Government has developed a response in the form of PRONASCI – the National Programme for Public Security and Citizenship – which seeks to articulate public security policies with social action. According to the Government, PRONASCI, launched in 2007, is intended to promote the training of the agents responsible for public security, the restructuring of the prison system and community involvement in the prevention of violence. To this end, it brings together Brazil’s state governments, municipalities, NGOs and international organisations. PRONASCI consists of a total of 94 elements which operate from the national to the community level. These include:

- a found for training public security professionals to work and act with local communities;

36. Maria da Penha, after whom this Law is known, lived for six years with a violent husband who attempted to kill her on two different occasions – first with a firearm and then by electrocution and drowning. As a result, she is now paralysed.
38. See the documentation on PRONASCI provided by the Ministry of Justice of the Federal Government, available at: www.mj.gov.br/pronasci/data/Pages/MJF4F53AB1PTBRIE.htm
- police training in non-lethal technologies, investigative techniques, forensic techniques, human rights principles, etc;
- capacity building for women community leaders (mulheres da paz);
- youth, trained in turn by mulheres da paz (cascade effect) and multidisciplinary teams, who will reach out to other youth and their families to promote citizenship;
- the creation of special facilities in the penitentiary system for specific groups, notably youth between the ages of 18 and 24 and women, in addition to the training of prison guards;
- housing for those public security professionals on low income; and
- enhanced partnerships between ministries to promote social actions and reduce violence.

The holistic approach to violence, policing and social activities inherent in PRONASCI is certainly the basis upon which effective initiatives must be built. However, PRONASCI itself has provoked serious concerns in Brazilian civil society, not least because of the lack of transparency associated with its development. Civil society indicates that, despite the Government rhetoric, PRONASCI is a top-down initiative of which the essential elements were determined without meaningful consultation. Indeed, it is said that PRONASCI was largely developed within the Ministry of Justice without the explicit participation of other ministries such as those for Social Development or Planning, or of the President of the Republic’s Special Secretariat for Human Rights. Moreover, while the general remit of PRONASCI is known, many of the details remain obscure, including questions relating to the unification of Brazil’s three police forces and the independence of ombudsman offices and the Institute of Legal Medicine (Instituto Médico Legal). Likewise, initiatives to reduce the levels of homicides perpetrated by the police are poorly addressed, and no measures are foreseen to remove from the streets police officers under investigation for killings. Regarding its implementation, there are no guarantees that actors participating in PRONASCI will enjoy real decision-making power. Women’s organisations have also expressed their serious concern that the mulheres da paz dimension, whereby women are appointed community leaders and in turn train local youth, amounts to a distortion of the State’s obligation to implement measures to protect and promote the rights of women by recruiting women themselves as “agents of the State”. Furthermore, there are no timetables for the implementation of
many of the measures included in the programme, and specific goals and budgets have not been set.

In Brazil’s federal system, state governments also have a key role to play in addressing violence. To take one example, the plan for public security developed by the state of Pernambuco and known as the Pact for Life (Pacto pela Vida) brings together a range of short-, medium- and long-term measures that are intended to reverse the rise of violent crime in the state, and especially crimes with lethal consequences. The basic aim is to reduce mortality levels as a result of intentional violence by 12 per cent per year. The Pact is founded on the following ideas:

- the incorporation of a human rights perspective in public security policies and practices;
- the introduction of improved policing techniques, including intelligence gathering and investigation, and social measures intended to prevent violence;
- the coordination of all elements of the state government involved in aspects of public security;
- the incorporation of management, monitoring and evaluation mechanisms at all levels; and
- the participation of civil society from the formulation of strategies to the execution of public security actions.39

The impact of this and other similar initiatives depends not only on the availability of resources, but also on the political will to ensure effective implementation and ongoing monitoring. Furthermore, it is essential that civil society is given the space and opportunity to participate meaningfully in and influence these initiatives.

4. Police violence against the poor

_In Rio de Janeiro, and across Brazil, police actions in poor communities are associated with systematic violations of human rights. Arbitrary and disproportionate use of force by agents of the State, repeated cases of summary executions and the inevitable categorisation of victims as “drug dealers”, as well as police “mega-operations” in favela neighbourhoods are inherent elements of public security policies in Rio de Janeiro. Together they represent the tragic repetition of practices that both derive from the criminalisation of poverty and contribute to the banalisation of serious human rights violations. Appendix 2 offers a brief selection of media headlines reporting summary execution cases carried out by the Rio de Janeiro police in 2007 and not only illustrates the commonplace use of excessive violence, but also the extent to which this becomes a daily feature in the life of Brazil’s citizens. Indeed, the use of lethal force has become so frequent that it has been suggested that the police forces of Rio de Janeiro consider high_"
numbers of deaths as a standard of efficiency.\textsuperscript{40} Those most affected by this violence are the residents of the city’s poorest neighbourhoods who do not enjoy even the minimum economic, social and cultural rights established under both international standards and the Constitution of Brazil. Relativising the acceptability of police operations in different areas of Rio de Janeiro, José Mariano Beltrame, the Secretary for Public Security in the state of Rio de Janeiro, declared to the press that “a gunshot in Copacabana is one thing, a gunshot in Complexo do Alemão is another”.\textsuperscript{41} In differentiating between the significance and impact of actions by armed police in a wealthy area of Rio de Janeiro and a well-known favela, the State Secretary appears to imply that citizens living in poor communities do not enjoy the same rights or status as those of wealthier neighbourhoods.

During the preparatory mission, we heard the testimony of several individuals who had lost family members as a result of arbitrary and violent police actions. Luis, a resident of Complexo Acari, an informal settlement of some 40,000 inhabitants in the city of Rio de Janeiro, and an area with one of the highest poverty indices in the state, told how his 3-year-old son was killed in 1996 by a military police bullet in the head while the police were in pursuit of a suspect: “When they [the military police] enter the favela, it’s to kill. There’s always a victim.” And when that victim belongs to Brazil’s poor, redress is particularly hard to obtain. The poor struggle to pay for legal assistance, and the justice system is notoriously slow, particularly where the poor are concerned. Furthermore, the police enjoy a high degree of de facto impunity, and in cases involving police shootings and other forms of violence, the perpetrators are rarely brought to justice. The poor are also vulnerable to threats and intimidation from those responsible for the violence, and it is commonly understood that bringing a complaint against the police is an invitation to further violence.

Ongoing high levels of police violence in certain neighbourhoods compromise still further the economic, social and cultural rights of local

\textsuperscript{40}In addition to the serious human rights violations associated with this policy, there is no evidence to indicate that it is successful in combating crime. Official data from the Public Security Institute of Rio de Janeiro (see: http://www.isp.rj.gov.br) show that from January to September 2007, 961 people were killed by police actions, an average of seven deaths every two days. That is an increase of 154 deaths, or 19 per cent, over the same period in 2006. As the number of deaths increased, the number of arrests decreased. From 1 January to 30 September 2007, the police arrested 10,215 suspects compared to 13,109 in the same period in 2006. There was also a reduction in the apprehension of drugs: 7,062 cases were registered from January to September 2007, compared to 8,450 cases in the same period of the previous year. Similarly, a smaller number of guns were apprehended: 7,770 compared to 10,233 – a decrease of 2,463.

\textsuperscript{41}Folha de S. Paulo, “To Secretary, gunshot in Copacabana ‘is one thing’ and in the Complexo do Alemão, ‘is another’”, 24 October 2007, available at: www1.folha.uol.com.br/fsp/cotidian/fl2410200728.htm
residents. Civil society reports that police operations have stopped children and youth from going to school and residents from going to work and have prevented health posts from operating. Brazil’s Platform for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (Plataforma DhESCA – Brasil), in the context of the National Report on the Human Right to Education, carried out an investigative mission in Complexo do Alemão in 2007, following complaints regarding the violation of the right to education. The Platform confirmed the extreme vulnerability of the community as regards access to education and pointed to the fact that schools in this neighbourhood are subjected on a daily basis to the impact of violence. It concluded that: “It is fundamental to invest in a set of strict policies that improve the living conditions of the population.”

Economic and social inequality is linked in yet another manner to the treatment that Brazil’s poorest citizens receive at the hands of the police. Brazil’s police forces, and in particular the military police, are poorly paid,

Matheus Rodrigues, who was only eight years old, was leaving his house when policemen were entering the Maré favela, in Rio de Janeiro, in 2008. He was shot in the head by a rifle fired by the police. Matheus fell on the ground holding a R$1 (1 Real) coin in his hand that his mother had given him to buy bread.

43. In Brazil there are three police forces – the federal police and the State-governed civil police, responsible for criminal investigations, and the military police, who patrol the streets and also contribute to
poorly trained and entrance requirements are low (in the case of the military police, recruits need only have completed ensino fundamental, the first nine years of schooling under the Brazilian system). This means that recruits are drawn from the poorest sectors of society, and thus the principal victims of violence at the hands of the police belong to the same socio-economic groups from which the police themselves come. Policing is considered less a profession than a means to earn a regular, if modest, wage. Inevitably, many police officers become involved in corruption at various levels. Residents of Santo Amaro, Recife’s most “notorious” favela, indicated that they knew by sight and name three or four police officers who are involved in providing arms to local gangs. In many cases, these officers simply sell back arms that were confiscated in previous police operations. Other police officers, in an attempt to round up their wages, take second jobs, often as private security guards. While this practice – known as bico – is prohibited, it is widespread and openly acknowledged by the authorities. Bico creates an ambiguous area where police officers – officials of the State – “privatise” their activities and escape what few controls exist to guarantee their ethical conduct. Human Rights Watch reports that in 2008, prosecutors estimated that 70 per cent of all homicides in the state of Pernambuco were committed by death squads which are believed to include police officers among their members.\(^{44}\) The degree of impunity enjoyed by such groups is indicated by the fact that they wear uniforms to identify themselves. One death squad in Pernambuco is said to wear T-shirts with the slogan: “mamãe cria e nos matamos” (mother raises [her children] and we kill [them]).

**A. Autos de resistência**

In the state of Rio de Janeiro, the issue of police killings justified on the grounds of resistance by the suspect (recorded as autos de resistência [acts of resistance] by the civil police) is of particular concern, and is linked directly to the matter of summary executions. The Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions in his preliminary report of his visit to Brazil in November 2007\(^{45}\) expressed particular concern at the designation of killings carried out by the police as acts of resistance:


\(^{45}\) The Special Rapporteur was on mission in Brazil from 4–14 November 2007 and visited São Paulo, Pernambuco, Rio de Janeiro and the Federal District (Brasília).
In most cases, killings by on-duty police are registered as “acts of resistance” or cases of “resistance followed by death”. In 2007, in Rio de Janeiro, the police recorded 1,330 resistance killings, a figure which accounts for 18 per cent of the total number of killings in Rio de Janeiro. In theory, these are instances in which the police have used necessary force proportionate to the resistance of criminal suspects to the orders of law enforcement officers. In practice, the picture is radically different. Whether an extrajudicial execution or a lawful killing has occurred is first determined by the policeman himself. Only rarely are such self-classifications seriously investigated by the Civil Police. I received many highly credible allegations that specific “resistance” killings were, in fact, extrajudicial executions. This is reinforced by studies of autopsy reports and by the fact that the ratio of civilians killed to police killed is astonishingly high.⁴⁶

The category of auto de resistência has no basis in Brazil’s Penal Code and it is said to have been created precisely to avoid the classification of police killings as homicides. Indeed, the official homicide data produced by the state of Rio de Janeiro’s civil police do not include killings carried out by on-duty police officers.⁴⁷ This results in a clear distortion of the state’s homicide statistics, since the number of people killed as a result of police interventions in the state is extremely high.

The large majority of these cases occur in the metropolitan region of Rio de Janeiro, and there is no registry in any other metropolitan region of the world in which police interventions cause a comparable number of victims. The number of such killings is growing annually, and increases were particularly dramatic in 2002 and again in 2007, when the total number of victims reached 1,330, a number superior to the index of all homicides in many countries of a similar size. It is important to recall, moreover, that

---

these numbers correspond only to cases where police officers register their actions, and that the real number of deaths (including “disappearances”) at the hands of the police is likely to be significantly higher. Additionally, these figures do not reflect homicides of a “private” character committed by police officers in the context of inter-personal disputes, domestic violence, etc.

The levels of deaths at the hands of the police in Rio de Janeiro are not only high in terms of international comparison, but also in relation to other Brazilian states. Data from the National Secretary for Public Security indicate that in 2000, Rio de Janeiro had the highest civilian death toll in military police interventions (per thousand police officers) of all the states for which data were available. The same report indicates that Rio de Janeiro comes second only to the Federal District (Brasilia) as regards the number of deaths caused by military police in relation to the overall population. Extrapolating data such as these across the average duration of a police officer’s career, it is possible to conclude that a significant proportion of Rio de Janeiro’s police officers kill at least one person during their professional life. This fact has significant implications for the nature of police “culture” – the creation and reinforcement of ideas and values within Rio’s police forces – as well as for the levels of stress to which police officers are subjected.

Márcia Jacintho’s son, Hanry Silva Gomes, was 16 years old when he was kidnapped and executed by policemen with a shot to the chest in a favela in Rio de Janeiro, in 2002. The crime was registered as an auto de resistência and was only investigated thanks to Márcia’s tireless fight. Two policemen were prosecuted and convicted for murder.

---

48.Ibid., p. 9.
In practice, classifying a police killing as auto de resistência ensures the impunity of the responsible officer. In investigating the effectiveness of the Military Justice in Brazil, the institution under which killings by the military police are considered, the sociologist Ignácio Cano concluded that it is “incapable of controlling and punishing abuses of use of lethal force by military police and the crimes related to its use”.\(^\text{49}\) No mechanism exists that can investigate cases of police abuse of force with independence and impartiality, and the classification of killings as autos de resistência remains a serious obstacle to the autonomous investigations of police activity.

**B. Mega-operations in Complexo do Alemão and Coréia, Rio de Janeiro**

A current public security strategy in Rio de Janeiro involves the use of large-scale, military-style operations against organised gangs in the city’s favelas. In identifying entire neighbourhoods as targets, these mega-operations, which employ armoured cars and helicopters in addition to heavily armed police officers and soldiers, represent an extreme evolution of the criminalisation of poverty. And while they have caused a high number of civilian deaths due to indiscriminate police action, they have proven to be largely ineffective in achieving their aim of reducing or eliminating organised crime, including trafficking in drugs and arms.

An emblematic case is that of the police mega-operação on 27 June 2007 in the Complexo do Alemão in Rio de Janeiro. This involved more than 1,300 men from the military and civil police and soldiers from the Força National and resulted in the deaths of 19 civilians and the wounding of 9 others, including children. Civil society reports that, during the operation, a total of 78 shots were fired, of which 32 were fired at the backs of victims.\(^\text{50}\) Furthermore, the majority of casualties were hit in vital regions, suggesting that the intention was to execute rather than immobilise them. Appendix 3 provides a full list of the Brazilian citizens killed in this police operation and the type of wound each one received. Following this operation, the Special Secretary for Human Rights (SSHR) produced a report that indicated that there was strong evidence to suggest that at least two of those killed in the mega-operação in Complexo do Alemão \(15\)organisations involved in investigations better verify the circumstances of the operation. Ribeiro confirmed the possibility that human rights NGOs were being manipulated.

\(^{50}\) “Laudos trazem indícios de espancamento, diz OAB”, Jornal O Globo, 6 July 2007.
by drug trafficking operations in order to interrupt the police operations.\textsuperscript{51} Such declarations demonstrate yet another distortion inherent in the criminalisation of poverty: in linking human rights organisations with drug trafficking, the authorities also criminalise human rights defenders, and thus undermine their denouncements while camouflaging human rights violations carried out by agents of the State.

Reports issued by the Special Secretariat for Human Rights revealed that the deaths reported as \textit{autos de resistência} during the mega-operation in Complexo do Alemão in 2007 presented characteristics suggestive of executions: the killings were caused by gunshots in vital parts, such as the head, chest, and nape; by shot fired at point-blank range, fired from above and/or from the back; and by multiple shots, in some cases fired from different guns.

On 17 October 2007, a similar operation to that in Complexo Alemão was carried out in Coréia, a favela in the district of Senador Camará. According to newspaper reports, this operation, which involved at least 500 police officers, had the goal of finding a weapons deposit and executing arrest warrants.\textsuperscript{52} Once again, the result was lethal: the action resulted in at least 16 deaths, among them 4 children (including a 4 year old) and a police officer. In addition, 8 people were wounded and 14 arrested.\textsuperscript{53} Again,

\textsuperscript{51} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{52} O Dia online: “Police mega-operation in Senador Camará ends with 12 dead, 14 arrested”, 17 October 2007.
\textsuperscript{53} Newspaper sources: Folha de S. Paulo, “7 die every 2 days in confrontation to the police in Rio”, 23 October 2007; “Military Police finds another body in the Korea slum”, 22 October 2007; “Operation had
as in the operation in Complexo do Alemão, death certificates issued by the Legal Medical Institute indicate that the civilian casualties of this operation were the result of gunshots to vital regions such as the head, chest and stomach, suggesting that the specific intention was to kill. Images of the Coréia operation broadcast by the main television stations appear to confirm that the police intention was to use lethal force: two young men, said to be members of a drug gang, were shown being pursued by a police helicopter before being shot and killed on camera.

more deaths than police informed”, 20 October 2007. See also: O Dia online, “Police mega-operation in Senador Camará ends with 12 dead, 14 arrested”, 17 October 2007.
5. Militia control of poor urban areas

Brazil’s militias are illegal groups, largely made up of military and civil police officers and ex-officers, firefighters, prison wardens and soldiers. Under the pretext of providing security and protection from drug gangs, their principal purpose is to reap profit through armed coercion of the residents of the neighbourhoods in which they operate. Militia groups are particularly present in Rio de Janeiro, where they exercise control over various communities, especially in the poorer Western and Northern Zones of the city. Their influence is said to be spreading rapidly to adjoining metropolitan areas and municipal districts. Residents of poor neighbourhoods in which the State is absent or all but absent are particularly vulnerable to militia activities. These groups coerce residents to pay “taxes” in exchange for protection and security, in addition to providing services such as cable television, alternative transportation, household gas, and gambling games (slot machines). Residents and community leaders who attempt to oppose the militia’s appropriation of their neighbourhood are inevitably expelled, tortured, or killed (see also the cases documented in section 8 of this report).

The growth in militia groups in the state of Rio de Janeiro was particularly marked after 2004, when the state and municipal governments of Rio de Janeiro began to regard the militias as a means by which to combat organised gangs associated with drug trafficking and hence as the “lesser of two evils”. Although there is still no precise or consensual definition, the term “militia” became more concrete in the public mind after a series of attacks in late December 2006 in Rio de Janeiro that were attributed to certain “drug dealers” responding to the growth of militias in the city. According to newspaper sources, a report from the city of Rio de Janeiro’s Undersecretary for Intelligence identified 92 militia-controlled communities.
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at that time. A significant shift in both the State and public perception of Brazil’s militias took place in May 2008 following the abduction and torture of two journalists engaged in investigating militia operations by members of a militia group controlling the Batan favela in Rio de Janeiro’s Western Zone. Subsequently the journalists reported that, during their ordeal, they saw individuals wearing military police uniforms. This event had important repercussions, drawing attention to the stranglehold of militia groups on certain favelas and their systematic violation of the human rights of the population of these areas. It also confirmed the close relationship between the militias and the State’s public security machine. Indeed, the ambiguous relationship between the militias and the State is apparent in the use these groups make of State security equipment, including weapons and police cars, and in the collaboration between the police and the militias to establish control over communities in Rio de Janeiro.

In the latest phase of militia activity, rival groups are increasingly engaging in armed conflict for control of territory. Public security statistics indicate that there has been an increase in the number of homicides in disputed areas, and the media increasingly carries stories on this theme. In 2008, there were 962 and 955 homicide cases respectively in the socio-economically disadvantaged Northern and Western Zones of Rio de Janeiro, where militia groups are most active. In the same period, there were 50 homicides in the Southern Zone. These figures clearly refute the security justification employed by the militias, just as the expansion of these groups into certain areas which are free from drug trafficking undermines their claim that their purpose is to suppress the drugs trade.

1. control of a territory and its inhabitants using illegal arms;
2. the use of coercive means to achieve this control;
3. individual profit as the main motivation of the group’s members;

59.The Brazilian Bar Association, the Brazilian Press Association and the Ministry of Justice condemned the torture, and professional organisations condemned the Government’s tolerance of the militias.
60.The appropriation of a neighbourhood by a militia group is often preceded by police operations in the same area to reduce possible resistance from organised gangs. The prison system is also vulnerable to militia corruption. On 28 October 2008, Ricardo Teixeira Cruz, an ex-policeman and known assassin for the powerful “Justice League” militia, escaped from a high security prison through the main gates. Statements have been taken that his escape was facilitated after paying R$2 million to prison guards and other public officials. See Extra online, 28 October 2008, “Ricardo Batman foge do presídio Bangu 8”, available at: http://extra.globo.com/geral/casodepolicia/post.asp?t=ricardo_batman_foge_do_presidio_bangu_8&cod_Post=136202&a=443
61.Instituto de Segurança Pública, available at: www.isp.rj.gov.br
(4) a discourse to justify their actions that refers to the protection of inhabitants and the establishment of an order that, like every order, guarantees certain rights and excludes others, but permits that rules be created to normalise conduct; and

(5) the active and recognised participation of agents of the State.

The shift in perception as regards militia activity is manifested in the decision on the part of the state of Rio de Janeiro to establish a Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into the activities of the militias. The final report of this Commission, which was presided by State Legislator Marcelo Freixo (who, as discussed in section 8, has himself been the subject of death threats), was submitted in December 2008, and indicates by name 226 individuals involved in militias as well as mapping 117 areas dominated by these armed groups. The report confirms that one of the militias’ main sources of finance is derived from the control of public transport, through extortion and menaces directed at the cooperatives that provide this service. The report indicates that, in one day alone, a militia earned US$77,000 (R$169,500) from its control of a transport cooperative in Rio das Pedras, suggesting an overall profit of more than US$27 million (R$60 million) in one year. There is strong evidence that children and adolescents are being recruited by members of militias to sell tickets on vans used for unregulated alternative transport and that this, in turn, is contributing to school dropout in militia-controlled areas. The report of the Commission of Inquiry asserts that, “Almost fifteen years after it first appeared, alternative transport remains a land without law”.

The privatisation of security services in Brazil is also closely related to the expansion of militia groups. According to data cited in the Commission of Inquiry’s report, it is estimated that between 1.2 million and 1.8 million people are engaged in the provision of private security throughout the country, the large majority of whom – whether engaged in regulated or unregulated operations – are police officers, former police officers and members or ex-members of the armed forces. There is, however,

---

64. The report of the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into the activities of militias states: “o transporte alternativo, quase 15 anos após o seu surgimento, é terra sem lei.” (ALERJ, p. 112).
an important difference in the businesses that offer private security to middle class areas and those that operate in the poor neighbourhoods controlled by militias. In the former, residents generally have a choice as to whether they should hire a private security service and, if so, which. In contrast, in poor areas, families and owners of small businesses have no alternative but to pay the security taxes levied by the militia if they wish to avoid reprisals that range from intimidation to aggression, violence or even expulsion from their homes. The report of the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry affirms that militias sell “protection” from the violence that they themselves perpetrate.65

The economic exploitation of Brazil’s poorest urban citizens at the hands of militia groups is compounded by their coercion for political ends. Militias exercise a strong control over the electoral process in large parts of the city of Rio de Janeiro, and their leaders are said to maintain a close relationship with certain Government representatives and to exchange favours with members of the legislative and judicial branches. There are both political representatives66 and senior officers in the police67 who have been arrested for their involvement in the leadership of militia groups.

The political links of militia groups are particularly clear in the phenomenon of currais eleitorais, by which residents of a militia-controlled area are coerced into voting for the candidates selected and supported by the militias. Indeed, in many cases the leaders of militia groups propose themselves as candidates for political posts. Influence over the electoral process is also achieved through the establishment of political “machines” that operate through social centres established by politicians or others in

66. In March 2009, Rio de Janeiro state representative Natalino José Guimarães (PMDB) and his brother, councillor Jerônimo Guimarães Filho (DEM), were condemned to 10 years and 6 months of prison by the judge Alessandra de Araújo Bilac Moreira Pinto for the formation of an armed gang. Other politicians cited by the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry for involvement in militia groups include: Josivaldo Francisco da Cruz (DEM), known as Nadinho, a councillor who draws a high number of votes from the Rio das Pedras area in the Western Zone of the city; and Cristiano Girão Matias (PTC) who ran as a councillor in 2008 but was not elected. He is accused of commanding a militia in Gardênia Azul, also in the Western Zone.
67. The participation of police leadership in illegal activities and organised crime became evident with the arrest by the federal police of Álvaro Lins, Chief of the civil police from 2000 to 2006 and other members of the civil police on 29 May 2008. They were accused of involvement in corruption, money laundering, the formation of armed gangs, passive corruption and aiding in contraband activities. These accusations caused Lins’s mandate with Rio de Janeiro’s Legislative Assembly to be terminated on 11 August 2008 on the grounds that he had broken parliamentary decorum. The federal police report points to a clear connection between Lins and militia groups in the state. On 11 March 2009 Lins was expelled from the civil police. See Agência Brasil, 30 May 2008, “Deputado Álvaro Lins é solto depois de determinação da Assembleia Legislativa do Rio”, available at: www.agenciabrasil.gov.br/noticias/2008/05/30/materia.2008-05-30.9597920800/view and G1, 11 March 2009, “Álvaro Lins é demitido da policia civil”, available at: http://g1.globo.com/Noticias/Rio/0,,MUL1038964-5606,00.html
militia-controlled areas. These centres, a physical symbol of militia influence over disadvantaged neighbourhoods, provide services and carry out social actions in the vacuum left by the State. An electoral map for 2008 included in the report of the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry illustrates the close connection between areas dominated by militia groups and the election of candidates who have subsequently been indicted for their involvement with these same groups.

The final report by the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into militias asks that the Regional Election Tribunal adopt “emphatic” preventive measures in future elections to ensure that they remain free from the influence of illegal groups, as well as undertake comparative studies of abnormal voting patterns for all candidates.[1] As a result of the evidence presented by the Commission of Inquiry, the Public Ministry for Elections has requested that the relation between certain political candidates and militia groups be rigorously investigated.
6. Economic, social and cultural rights and the prison system

A. The profile of Brazil’s prison population

The Brazilian prison system, a fundamental element in the perpetuation of the cycle of poverty and violence, is a mirror of the society that has produced it, reflecting as it does Brazil’s severe socio-economic disparities. In short, the inevitable outcome of the criminalisation of Brazil’s poorest citizens is their incarceration in a penal system that serves to exacerbate inequality, consolidate exclusion and reinforce prejudice rather
than re-socialise and integrate.

According to data provided by the National Penitentiary Department (DEPEN), an organ associated with the Ministry of Justice, in the first semester of 2008 the total prison population in Brazil was 440,013, of which 381,112 were held in the country’s prison system and 58,901 were held in police cells. On this basis, Brazil has the fourth largest prison population of all countries in the world. Of the total number of detainees held in prisons (i.e., excluding those in police cells), 130,745 were in pre-trial detention. This means that more than one third of the Brazilian prison population is made up of prisoners who have not been condemned definitively. Between 2003 and 2007, the number of prisoners in pre-trial detention grew dramatically, from 67,549 to 127,562, an increase of 89 per cent (compared to an increase of 37 per cent in the general prison population). Data such as these demonstrate the growing challenge Brazil faces in dealing with its prison population and point to the promotion of punitive practices and the lack of public policies to address this situation. They also reflect the delays inherent in the Brazilian justice system and, importantly, the inadequacies of the country’s legal aid system.

Legal aid is a crucial mechanism for ensuring that the poorest citizens are not incarcerated for the simple fact that they cannot afford to take legal defence. The Brazilian Federal Constitution (article 134) clearly lays out the principles and requirements of legal aid and underlines its role in guaranteeing access to justice for those who do not possess the means to pay for a private lawyer. Nevertheless, there are states, including Goiás and Santa Catarina, which do not have organised and established legal aid systems. In other states, the number of legal aid professionals is negligible—a situation that is particularly serious in smaller cities and towns that are distant from the country’s principal urban centres—and their conditions of employment are far from secure. These shortfalls, reinforced by discriminatory policing practices, contribute to ensuring that the vast majority of the population of Brazil’s prisons comes from the country’s most disadvantaged groups.

The vulnerability of Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised citizens to imprisonment is demonstrated by statistics issued by DEPEN that indicate that the typical prison inmate is young, Afro-Brazilian and very poor. In terms of age groups, 32 per cent of adult prisoners are between 18 and 24 years old; 26 per cent are between 15 and 29 years old; 18 per cent are between 30 and 34 years old; 15 per cent are between 35 and 45 years old; and 6 per
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... are between 46 and 60 years old. As regards educational level, 8 per cent of prisoners are illiterate, and another 14 per cent are literate, but have no other educational qualification. As many as 45 per cent did not finish elementary school, while another 12 per cent completed their elementary education but did not go on to high school, and only 7 per cent have a high school diploma. The racial composition of Brazil’s prison inmates is also striking. It is estimated that 40 per cent are white, while almost 60 per cent are Afro-Brazilian.

The types of crimes for which people are imprisoned also have a bearing on the socio-economic profile of Brazil’s prison population. Data from the Ministry of Justice indicate that 19 per cent of prisoners were sentenced for aggravated robbery (roubo qualificado), followed by 14 per cent for dealing in narcotics and 13 per cent for extortion by means of kidnapping. These figures suggest that a large proportion of the prison population is incarcerated for crimes typically associated with socio-economically disadvantaged groups, while crimes typically associated with wealthier groups, including white-collar crime, is less likely to lead to imprisonment. This conclusion is confirmed by the findings of a Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry established at the end of 2007 by the House and Senate with the objective of investigating the Brazilian prison system. After undertaking inquiries in 18 states and visiting more than 60 prison units, the Commission published a final report in which it underlined the fact that Brazil’s poor make up the majority of the prison system, while white-collar and similar crimes are much less likely to lead to a prison sentence.

**B. Prison conditions**

Within the country’s prison system, the most serious problems include: high levels of overcrowding (taken together, the total capacity of prison facilities and police cells is 277,847 compared with a total prison population of 440,013); inadequate and unhygienic cells; insufficient and poor-quality nutrition; limited or absent legal assistance; inadequate or non-

---

69. One per cent of prisoners are over 60 years of age, and for the remainder there was no information available.

70. Afro-Brazilian is used here to refer collectively to prisoners classified in official statistics as being of black or brown skin colour (17 and 41 per cent of the prison population respectively). Persons of Asian origin account for under 1 per cent of the prison population, and indigenous persons make up under 0.2 per cent: Final Report of the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into the Brazilian prison system, 2008, p. 59.

existent health care; lack of educational or work activities; lack of separation between different categories of prisoners (pre-trial or condemned); inadequate supervision by prison guards; and extreme violence among inmates (including extortion, beatings, rape and murder). Prison staff, responsible for guaranteeing security inside the country’s prisons, do not receive adequate training, and in many cases enter the system through temporary or emergency hiring. This happens despite the fact that the Constitution of Brazil expressly requires that recruitment to these positions be by public competition.

Of the total prison population, it is estimated by the Ministry of Justice that only 5 per cent (21,439) are engaged in external work programmes, that is, programmes outside of the penal establishment that can help support a prisoner’s eventual social reintegration. Furthermore, only 16 per cent of prisoners (71,608) are engaged in internal work, such as contributing to the running of the prison unit or producing handicrafts, among other activities. This means that the large majority of detainees in Brazil’s prison system (some 347,000 people) are without any form of work or activity.  

If all these factors were not enough, the UN Committee Against Torture indicates in its report on its members’ mission to Brazil in 2005 that torture and other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment of prisoners are systematically practised by prison staff. Appendix 4 details two cases of torture and death in Brazil’s penal system, including the death of a minor. Impunity lies at the heart of this widespread practice: in most cases charges of violence against prisoners are not investigated efficiently, and penal, administrative and civil accountability of those who violate the personal integrity and life of prisoners is non-existent. The Brazilian State signed the Optional Protocol to the UN Convention against Torture (OPCAT) on 13 October 2003 and ratified it on 12 January 2007, but to this day this international standard has not been implemented.73

Incarceration not only compromises the physical integrity and the economic, social and cultural rights of prisoners themselves, but also jeopardises the socio-economic wellbeing of their families and dependants. During the preparatory mission, Sonia, who lives in the neighbourhood of Benfica in Rio de Janeiro, explained that her husband was arrested in October 2008 on suspicion of receiving stolen goods. She is now left to look after four children and, in addition, to provide such items as soap, toilet paper, shaving cream and razors for her husband. She sketched out an impossible financial situation whereby her only regular income is the R$90 (US$40) per month she receives from the Bolsa Familia for her children attending school. On top of that, she tries to find work where she can, but this is normally nothing more than a day’s cleaning for which she will receive some R$60. The week we met with her she did not anticipate receiving any work. At the same time, and in addition to her family’s basic needs, she has to meet the cost of a lawyer, of buying provisions for her husband at the market and of travelling to see him four times a month (at R$10 per round trip). Crucially, she must also find R$150 per month to pay her rent in the favela, and she explained that she is already in arrears with her payments. She knows that if this situation continues, she and her children will eventually lose their home. Sonia’s story is not exceptional, and it is therefore no surprise that women with a partner in prison risk becoming involved in drug dealing or other illegal activities in order to support their families.

73. One of the pillars of the prevention of torture established in the Protocol is the creation of a national preventive mechanism by the State party, the main role of which would be to carry out periodic visits and monitor the conditions of the deprivation of freedom throughout the entire country.
C. Vulnerability of incarcerated women and children

Of Brazil’s prison population, children and adolescents detained in unidades de internação (juvenile detention centres) are among the most vulnerable both to violence and to violations of their economic, social and cultural rights. They must contend with extremely poor conditions, including poor nutrition and inadequate accommodation and, despite clear legal provisions to the contrary, they are, in practice, excluded from appropriate schooling. A study carried out in 2002 by the Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (Ipea) of 190 juvenile detention centres in Brazil with respect to the minimum standards established by the United Nations found that 71 per cent of such centres failed to provide the minimum conditions as regards physical infrastructure and medical, legal and educational facilities. Of the 10,000 adolescents included in this survey, 18 per cent were aged between 12 and 15 years of age, 76 per cent between 16 and 18, and 6 per cent between 19 and 20. As many as 89.6 per cent of the adolescents surveyed had not completed primary school (ensino fundamental, normally between the ages...
of 5 and 14), and 6 per cent were illiterate. Only 7.6 per cent had started ensino médio (high school, normally from 14 years onwards).

The situation as regards women prisoners is also particularly serious. There are some 27,000 female prisoners in Brazil, representing 6 per cent of the total prison population. Statistics compiled by the Ministry of Justice in April 2008 reveal an alarming upward trend in these numbers: in the four preceding years the population of women prisoners grew by 37.5 per cent, a significantly greater increase than that of the male prison population. Prison facilities are inadequate to cope with this rapidly growing population, and in October 2007 it was estimated that there were 48 per cent more women prisoners than there were places available in the country’s prisons, while the deficit for the male prison population was 37 per cent. Only 16 per cent of the new prison places due to be created by the National Penitentiary Fund are destined for women.

In Brazil, there are 508 penal establishments in which women are detained, but of these only 58 are exclusively for female prisoners. In the mixed establishments there are pavilions and cells adapted for women; however, it is reported that the differences between facilities for men and women are only superficial. Thus, for example, only 27 per cent of these establishments have a structure to meet the needs of pregnant women, 20 per cent have nurseries, and only 16 per cent provide daycare facilities. Despite these limited facilities, there are newborn babies in most of the women’s prisons in the country, and the Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into Brazil’s prison system confirms that many of them are living in subhuman conditions. The Commission reported that in Bom Pastor Colony in Recife, a 6-day-old baby was seen sleeping on the floor, in a mouldy, overcrowded cell, on top of some sheets spread on the floor.

In the state of Pará, a 15-year-old girl, Lidiany, was held for more than 30 days in the Public Jail of Abacetetuba together with some 20 male detainees. She was repeatedly tortured and raped in front of the authorities that administrated the unit. The girl was finally rescued by the guardianship council for children and adolescents (conselho tutelar). This case was widely covered by both national and international press and drew attention to the fact that Brazil’s prison system is unable to provide for the requirements of women and to protect their basic human rights, despite the fact that special facilities and protection for women are clearly foreseen under Brazilian law.

Women prisoners are also reported to have very limited access to hygiene and healthcare products such as toilet paper, sanitary towels, condoms and medication. And while family visits for prisoners are foreseen under Brazilian law, only 38 per cent of female prisoners receive such visits, compared to 86 per cent of men. This both contributes to the isolation of women inmates and reflects the impact that imprisonment has on women’s affective relations and family structure. Moreover, very few women’s prisons offer adequate facilities for intimate visits, another factor contributing to their social and psychological isolation and the breakdown of family units.

D. Urso Branco Prison, Porto Velho, Rondônia state

An emblematic case regarding the reality of Brazilian prisons – and one reported to both the Inter-American Commission and the Inter-American Court for Human Rights, as well as to the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions – is the situation of the detainees in Urso Branco prison in Brazil’s Northern region.

On 7 October 2008, the Prosecutor General of the Republic of Brazil, Dr. Antônio Fernando Barros e Silva de Souza, solicited the Federal Supreme Court to make an intervention in the state of Rondônia on the basis of articles 34 VII (b) and 36 III of the Federal Constitution, which authorise exceptional measures to protect the dignity of the human person. This meant, in short, that the highest authority of the Federal Public Ministry, recognising that the state of Rondônia was directly and repeatedly violating human rights, solicited the Supreme Court to determine the Federal Union’s intervention in this state, temporarily breaking the federal pact.

This request was made on the grounds of severe violations of the human rights of inmates in the José Mário Alves Prison, popularly known as Urso Branco. In the request for a federal intervention, the Prosecutor General reported rebellions, massacres, violent deaths, summary executions and torture that occurred in the unit between 2000 and 2007. He also

75. This dramatic case violates the precepts established under Brazilian legislation. The law governing prison facilities – Lei de Execução Penal – establishes that women should be taken into individual and adequate establishments according to their personal condition (article 82, paragraph 1). It also determines that the penal establishments destined for women should have a nursery where women can breastfeed their children (article 83, paragraph 2). In addition, this Law asserts that women’s prisons should have sections for pregnant women and women in labour, as well as daycare sections for young children (article 89). Article 5 L of the Federal Constitution contains the same concept, and asserts that female prisoners shall have safe conditions to be with their children during breastfeeding.
detailed the conditions faced by the prisoners: overcrowding; insalubrious cells; lack of ventilation; limited access to water; inadequacy of food both in terms of quantity and quality; insufficient exposure to sunlight; a shortage of mattresses and hygiene products; inactivity (none of the prisoners were able to work or study); failure to separate pre-trial and condemned prisoners; humiliating treatment associated with visits; inadequate medical and dental care; a lack of free legal assistance; and lastly, a shortage of prison guards.

In 2002, Justiça Global and the Justice and Peace Commission of the Archdiocese of Porto Velho (CJP) denounced the lack of state control over the prison and the severe violations of the prisoners’ human rights to the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights of the Organization of American States. In that same year, the Brazilian State was ordered by the Inter-American Court on Human Rights to implement temporary measures to guarantee the protection of the life and personal integrity of prisoners in Urso Branco, as well as the investigation of crimes that had occurred inside the unit. The Court also ordered that the prison be modified and adapted according to the international norms established for the protection of prisoners’ human rights.

The procedures under way in the Inter-American System for the Protection of Human Rights as a result of the initiatives of Justiça Global and the CJP contribute directly to the request for federal intervention formulated by the Prosecutor General, both in demonstrating the necessity of soliciting this intervention and in the content of the arguments put forward in the petition directed to the Supreme Court. The result of the process that analyses the appropriateness of the intervention will also have repercussions in case 12,568 – Prisoners of Urso Branco Prison, Brazil, that is still under the examination of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights. The temporary measures already determined by the Court are also under analysis.

The petitioner organisations of the Inter-American System are convinced that the federal intervention requested by the Prosecutor General is a measure of utmost necessity. For this reason, they have submitted a request to assist the Prosecutor General in this process and are currently awaiting the Court’s decision in this respect. Given that over 100 murders have been committed inside Urso Branco since 2000, and that these have been marked by absolute impunity, it is essential that there is an immediate response to the situation in which prisoners live, not only in Urso Branco, but in all prison units in the state of Rondônia.
E. The Polinter cells and the 76th police station, Rio de Janeiro state

Both the civil police cells at Polinter and the 76th police station are located in the state of Rio de Janeiro, the former in the city of Rio de Janeiro and the latter in Niterói. On 6 November 2005 and 6 June 2006 respectively, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights determined that precautionary measures be taken to ensure that the Brazilian Government protect the life and physical integrity of all persons deprived of their liberty in these facilities. This, in turn, was the result of a solicitation made by Justiça Global in partnership with the Association for Prison Reform (ARP), the Torture Never Again Group in Rio de Janeiro (Grupo Tortura Nunca Mais), the Association of Public Defenders of the state of Rio de Janeiro (ADPERJ) and the Laboratory for the Analysis of Violence of the State University of Rio de Janeiro, all of which were concerned about serious human rights violations against prisoners in these institutions.

The conditions experienced by prisoners in both Polinter and the 76th police station were very similar: detainees with serious or contagious illnesses had no guaranteed of receiving adequate treatment, including medical consultations, medication or isolation in appropriate facilities. Besides this, both jails were overcrowded, with the number of prisoners far exceeding the capacity of these units. Prisoners were held in small cells in unsanitary conditions, and this in turn led to high levels of tension and violence.

In authorising the precautionary measures, the Inter-American Commission determined that the Brazilian Government (and the government of Rio de Janeiro in particular) should: (1) immediately adopt the measures necessary to protect the life and personal integrity of all prisoners; (2) immediately suspend the admission of new prisoners; (3) transfer condemned and recaptured prisoners who were in jail in the prison system of the state of Rio de Janeiro; (4) transfer sick prisoners to hospital; and (5) transfer a significant number of prisoners held in these facilities in order to reduce
the overcrowding that threatened the life and physical integrity of the prison population. As a result of the complaints from civil society and the Commission’s recommendations, Brazil finally approved the closing of the Polinter and the 76th police station jails in January and December 2006 respectively.

Inmates in a crowded cell at the now closed 76th Police Station
7. Violence against women

In Brazil in particular, unequal social relations ... are manifest in the use of violence as an instrument of subordination of certain social groups, often in the form of extermination, as is the case for the indigenous population; of physical violence, in the case of the black population; and of physical and sexual violence, in the case of us, women.

SOS Corpo, Instituto Feminista para a Democracia

The state of Pernambuco has a particularly high homicide rate for women: almost 2,000 were murdered between 2002 and 2007, equating to an average of 300 women killed per year, or 25 per month. An analysis of these crimes indicates that domestic violence, committed by partners or family members, was the principal factor behind these murders. Firearms were used in two thirds of all cases.

Within the state, two thirds of the homicides of women take place in the metropolitan region of Recife. More specifically, these crimes are concentrated in socio-economically marginal urban areas where the population has little access to security or justice. An analysis by SOS Corpo, a Recife-based NGO, of 457 cases where information was available on the economic occupation or activity of the murdered woman indicates that 65

76. SOS Corpo, Enfrentando a cultura, cuestionando o Estado: por uma vida sem violência, Dados e Análises, IV, no. 8, November 2008, p. 2.
77. 2007 saw a slight drop in the number of women murdered in the state (to 305, from 335 in 2006). It is still too early to say whether this decrease marks a new trend; however, if this is the case, it corresponds to the implementation of the Pacto pela Vida, a Government policy specifically developed to reduce intentional lethal crimes, and the promulgation of the Maria da Penha Law on domestic violence, as well as the establishment of the state of Pernambuco’s Secretariat for Women (Secretaria da Mulher do Estado de Pernambuco), which has identified addressing violence against women as one of its priorities. At the same time, it may also reflect intense civil society mobilisation around the question, led by the Women’s Forum of Pernambuco and a range of women’s groups. SOS Corpo, Desafios ao monitoramento das políticas públicas, Dados e Análises, IV, no. 7, September 2008, p. 5.
78. Ibid., p. 2.
79. Ibid.
80. Ibid.
82. SOS Corpo, Desafios ao monitoramento das políticas públicas, Dados e Análises, IV, no. 7, September 2008, p. 2.
per cent of victims looked after the home, were engaged in the informal sector or were unemployed. Only 14 per cent of victims were engaged in the formal sector.83

Violence against women in Brazil’s favelas and other poor urban areas is closely linked to issues of economic insecurity, the absence or insufficiency of public services, high levels of crime, and the oppressive strategies of the police.84 Indeed, the fact that police interventions are often marked by extreme and arbitrary violence makes it unlikely that women, particularly poor women, will regard them as a protective element. In any case, making a complaint to the police against a partner or ex-partner may bring violent retribution on a woman, especially if the man in question is linked to a criminal gang. Even women’s groups who denounce such violence put themselves at risk.85

Another factor explaining the high levels of lethal violence against women in urban areas is the ubiquity of firearms. While middle- and high-income groups possess guns, their use tends to be more carefully controlled than in poor areas, where they are commonplace objects. One interviewee even described firearms being used as toys by small children. Another woman told of being raped by her partner at gunpoint. The facility with which firearms are employed to resolve what would otherwise be relatively minor disputes is illustrated by the story of Leandra, whom we met in a safe house in Recife. Leandra, 21 years old, suffered serious damage to her pancreas and liver as a result of a shot to her abdomen sustained when she stepped in front of a friend to protect her from a young woman who was threatening her with a pistol. The motive behind the incident was a banal dispute over a young man.

Women from poor households, or with little or no economic independence, are not only particularly vulnerable to violence, but also have limited scope to escape it. A woman from the city of Olinda who has been subjected to 21 years of systematic violence – including sexual violence – at the hands of her husband explained how women were tied to their partners by a combination of economic and cultural factors: “the men will not leave their homes, and the women cannot”. And a woman with few economic resources who is forced out of her home risks becoming vulnerable to yet

83. Ibid., p. 6.
84. While information on violence against women in urban areas is relatively easy to obtain, much less is known about the ways in which violence affects women in rural areas, or women from indigenous communities.
other forms of violence.

Domestic violence, and violence against women in general, often does not receive the attention it deserves from the Brazilian police, who are generally poorly trained to deal with this issue and who may even regard being assigned to it as a punishment. Their inertia is often even greater when the victim, or potential victim, comes from a poor neighbourhood. Another woman we interviewed in Olinda, who lives in a favela area, explained that she is forced to hide away knives and other potential weapons from her husband who becomes extremely violent when he drinks. She told us that, “when I dial 190 [the military police] nobody comes”. A woman who goes in person to a police station is not guaranteed to receive better treatment: in the course of the preparatory mission we were told that women reporting domestic violence at police stations are regularly told to return home and to try to “understand” their husband or partner or, failing that, to “leave home”. Women also complain that police prejudice and moral judgements can distort the categories of “victim” and “criminal” with the suggestion that a woman may have “deserved” or “asked for” her treatment. During a visit to the small town of Agua Preta in the agricultural hinterland of Recife, women even spoke about their fear of going to the police station because of the treatment they received there. We also met with the niece of a woman who had made five separate complaints to the police regarding the domestic violence to which she was subjected. She was finally murdered by her partner.

It is worth noting that the police are equally unprepared when it comes to issues of violence against children, indeed, they often focus more on crimes by children than crimes against children.

In Brazil, the police are not alone in failing to respond effectively to violence. Other public services have received criticism, and it is reported, for example, that health professionals fail to recognise violence against women as an element contributing to their illness or even to death. It was also suggested in the course of the preparatory mission that women from the poorer sectors of society, and particularly poor black women, are not always given the same priority for medical treatment as others when they visit hospital.

Much of the support for women victims of violence in Brazil is provided by civil society organisations, although most do not have the capacity to meet the demand for their services. At the same time, services provided by the State are insufficient and poorly distributed across the country. A survey by SOS Corpo of the six basic forms of services for women victims
of violence demonstrated significant discrepancies between the metropolitan regions of São Paulo and Recife. In particular, SOS Corpo points to the complete absence of basic orientation services for women victims of violence in Recife compared to 11 such facilities in São Paulo. This is of particular concern given that these services generally act as “entrance points” and can direct women to other specialised services, including legal, psychosocial and health services, as well as to refuges or safe houses. Specialised police services with staff trained in registering and investigating cases and enforcing judicial decisions are also seriously lacking, as many of the women interviewed in Recife, Olinda and Agua Preta pointed out: Recife has two police stations specialised in dealing with issues of violence against women – Delegacias Especializadas de Atendimento à Mulher – and there are only four in the entire state of Pernambuco, while São Paulo has 22. 86 The availability of Government services is said to be heavily influenced by political priorities and facilities are vulnerable to being established or dismantled according to election results, creating instability and preventing the effective development of an adequate network of services and support.

Women’s organisations in Brazil underline that efforts to address violence against women must not be treated in isolation. The issue is a cross-cutting one and hence must be seen as an integral part of public security policies as well as a key element in initiatives to promote human rights in the country.

86. SOS Corpo, Informações para o fim da violência contra as mulheres, Dados e Análises, III, no. 5, May 2007, pp. 6–7.
8. Violence against human rights defenders and social movements

Human rights defenders are constantly under threat. In some cases I have already lost friends. For me, it’s clear that obstructing the work of human rights defenders threatens democracy throughout the world.87

Marcelo Freixo, State Legislator, Rio de Janeiro, President of the Rio de Janeiro Legislative Assembly’s Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into militias, and human rights defender

For a period of 21 years, between 1964 and 1985, Brazil was under a military regime. During this time, thousands of people were jailed and tortured, others were forced to leave the country, and several hundred at least were killed. Many of those interviewed during the preparatory mission pointed to the fact that the mentality and modus operandi of the armed forces, including the military police, has evolved little since the military dictatorship. Indeed, the armed forces have never apologised for their involvement in the regime, there have never been any investigations into the crimes committed during this period, and documents from the dictatorship remain classified.

One of the principal aims of the civil society organisation Grupo Tortura Nunca Mais (Torture no More) with whom we met during the mission, is to pressure for the opening of the State archives for the period of the military regime and to end impunity with respect to the crimes committed. Members of Tortura Nunca Mais, like representatives of other civil society organisations, spoke of the phenomenon of the criminalization of social movements and of human rights defenders engaged in protecting and promoting the human rights of Brazil’s poor and excluded groups, a phenomenon which they attribute to the heritage of the military dictatorship and, more specifically, to the fact that any form of contestation, even that intended to promote positive change, is viewed with suspicion by the State.88

88.Grupo Tortura Nunca Mais has been ordered to pay R$47,000 (US$25,000) by a judge in Rio de Janeiro for libel and defamation having published information on their website regarding a complaint brought against the police. The group claims that this same information is available in the public sphere, and in particular in the press, and views this action as a clear attempt on the part of the authorities to criminalize their own organisation.
Thus, just as poverty is criminalized, with serious implications as regards violence against the poor, so too is the struggle waged by human rights defenders and social movements to reduce poverty and discrimination. Be it by act or by omission, the police, prison guards and even the justice system are implicated in violence against human rights defenders.

Reporting on her mission to Brazil in 2005, the UN Special Representative on the situation of human rights defenders explicitly expressed her concern at the criminalisation of social action by human rights defenders. She noted that they have been “subject to unfair and malicious prosecution, repeated arrests and vilification as retaliatory action by State as well as by powerful and influential non-State entities. Leaders and supporters of social movements, in particular, have suffered and are placed at serious risk for activities in defence of human rights". She also expressed her concern that “when human rights activists organize, they are accused of forming criminal gangs and when they mobilize for collective action to protest violation of rights they are accused of creating public disorder”, and pointed in particular to “serious allegations of violence against defenders and abuse
of powers by the State Military Police".\textsuperscript{89} Recently, the Federal Government’s programme for human rights defenders has established a coordination group in Brasília and there are plans to extend a protection programme across the country. In order for this initiative to be effective, it must be assigned adequate resources and receive political support and approval.

Human rights defenders who work on the issues of police and militia violence are among the most vulnerable to violence and intimidation. For example, it is reported that Jorge da Silva Neto, president of the residents’ association in the favela of Kelson in Penha, in the northeast of the state of Rio de Janeiro, was forced to flee his community in April 2007 following a series of death threats. He had previously submitted a complaint (denúncia) to the Secretary of Public Security, the police complaints section (Corregedoria da Polícia) and the Public Prosecutor (Promotoria Pública) accusing seven military police officers of having invaded the community and assumed “dictatorial” powers. Three of these officers were briefly detained in early September 2007. Four days after their release, da Silva Neto was shot to death.\textsuperscript{90}

Human Rights Watch reports that in May 2008, Joinville Frota, a trade unionist in the state of Amapá, received death threats in connection with strike activities.\textsuperscript{91} In August of the same year, a number of members of the family of a deputy suspected of being a boss of the the Justice League – a powerful local militia that has offered violent resistance to the authorities’ attempts to combat its activities – were accused of the killing of seven residents of the favela of Barbante in the east of Rio de Janeiro. One of the dead, Ariovaldo da Silva Nunes, had refused to pay the “taxes” demanded by the militia. Furthermore, Marcus Neves, the police commissioner responsible for investigating the Justice League has received death threats. In July 2008, the police station where he works was the target of a bombing.

On 24 January 2009, Manoel Mattos, a human rights activist and the vice-president of the Worker’s Party of Pernambuco was assassinated by two hooded men who broke into his house and shot him at point-blank


\textsuperscript{90} Marcelo Freixo, Mandato Estadual, PSOL, “Noticias”, available at: www.marcelofreixo.com.br/site/?page=noticias&id=864&sectionid=12&catid=24

\textsuperscript{91} Human Rights Watch, World Report 2009 – Brazil, 14 January 2009, online, UNHCR Refworld, available at: www.unhcr.org/refworld/docid/49705fiaa78.html
range. Amnesty International reports that Mattos had received repeated death threats as a result of his work denouncing the activities of death squads in the Northeast. Indeed, as early as 2002, following a complaint lodged by Justiça Global and Dignitatis, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights of the Organization of American States had called on Brazil to ensure the physical integrity of Mattos and four other persons; however, this did not lead to effective protective measures being taken. Indeed, it is reported that shortly before he was killed, and despite ongoing threats, the federal police withdrew protection for Mattos because they felt it was no longer necessary. Mattos, who was a member of the local bar association’s human rights commission had long campaigned against police violence and the spread of death squads in the region and had provided testimony and evidence to a federal parliamentary inquiry into death squads in the Northeast.92 Members of Mattos’s family are also subject to threats from death squads: Dona Nair Ávila, the mother of Mattos, has already received threats following the death of her son, while Mattos’s widow, Alcione Almeida, is also understood to be at risk.93

Marcelo Freixo, State Legislator and President of the Human Rights Commission of the Rio de Janeiro legislature, who is currently under police protection, has received death threats for his work as President of the Rio de Janeiro Legislative Assembly’s Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry into militias, which has the brief of investigating the involvement of politicians, councillors, police, firefighters and others in the illegal activity of militias. The report, submitted to the State Government in December 2008, contains the names of 225 individuals suspected of links with militia organisations.

Beyond Brazil’s urban centres, violence against human rights defenders and social movements and the process of criminalization is most clearly observable in the struggle to ensure access to land, address inequalities in land distribution and contest poorly planned agrarian reform. In its 2006 report on human rights violations in Brazil, OMCT indicated that the intensification of land conflict, the increase in landlessness and the growing

93. There is a real concern that further deaths may ensue. The prosecutor Rosemary Souto Mayoe de Almeida and the deputies Luiz Couto and Fernando Ferro have also consistently denounced the activities of death squads in the states of Pernambuco and Paraiba over a number of years. One of the risks lies in the fact that these criminal groups exercise influence on the police, political parties and elements of the justice system in these states. This has led Justiça Global and Dignitatis to meet with the Minister of Justice and to call upon the Prosecutor General of the Republic to wholly shift investigations and trials associated with the death of Mattos to the federal level.
number of evicted families were all factors contributing to the amplification of violence and killings of peasants and rural workers. In the same year, the Pastoral Land Commission recorded 39 killings and 917 arrests related to land conflict throughout the country. Reportedly, such killings often imply the participation, knowledge, or acquiescence of state officials. Likewise, the organisation Movement of People Affected by Dams reported that its leaders and members are repeatedly arrested and detained because of their action in defence of those affected by these developments.

Violence against land activists is further aggravated by the persistence of high levels of impunity, since the judiciary is frequently subject to external influences and the police are reluctant to investigate such cases. In many situations, State officials who try to apply the rule of law are themselves victims of abuses aimed at preventing the establishment of State authority in remote rural areas.

Over the years, and through a process of land occupation, Brazil’s Landless Movement (Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra – MST) has allocated lands (assentamentos) to approximately 350,000 families. This process has frequently been met with fierce and violent opposition from landowners, and OMCT has worked on a number of cases of violence against members of MST. On 21 August 2006, for example, Jaime Amorim, a member of the National Coordination of MST in Pernambuco, was the victim of a preventive arrest by the police while returning from the burial of a worker who had been assassinated. Amorim was charged with criminal instigation, aggression against a public official and disobedience. His preventive detention was considered both arbitrary and abusive and after numerous attempts, habeas corpus was finally granted, only to be subsequently withdrawn. Furthermore, despite witnesses asserting his innocence, Amorim was eventually sentenced to prison in May 2008. National NGOs insist that this sentence results from a biased and unfair trial process and that the charges against him were aimed at criminalising his activity as

94. OMCT, Human Rights Violations in Brazil, May 2006. See www.omct.org
96. US Department of State, country reports on human rights, Brazil, 2007.
98. Ibid.
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a human rights defender of the landless population. On 30 March 2008, one of the leaders of MST, Eli Dallemole, was murdered by two gunmen who broke into his home and shot him in front of his wife and children. Dallemole was also part of the leadership of a sector of the assentamentos Liberação Campesina. According to MST, he was killed because he was a key witness in the inquiry on the demobilisation of armed militias. Earlier in the same month, some 15 gunmen displaced 35 families living in Liberação Campesina, inflicting violence on men, women and children and setting fire to homes and belongings.

Similarly, disputes over land have seen intense and deadly violence directed against indigenous leaders and their communities claiming their ancestral and, indeed, legal rights to land as well as against quilombola communities. Although the Government has the constitutional obligation to grant titles to quilombolas, the demarcation of lands is consistently challenged and obstructed by conflicts and violence owing to economic interests. Defenders of the rights of quilombolas live in constant fear of violence and death threats from powerful landowners who are unwilling to hand over lands to these communities.

100 See www.omct.org
101 See: www.omct.org
contemporary forms of racism has indicated that the Government has not adequately responded to this violence and has expressed his concern that the judiciary is frequently reluctant to recognise quilombola lands due to racial prejudices.103

Leaders of indigenous communities are likewise subject to death threats, violence and killings, both at the hand of State actors and pistoleiros working for private interests. The police threaten and kill leaders and members of indigenous communities and the judiciary largely continues to guarantee the impunity of the police, while criminalising the actions of these leaders.104 The Indigenous Missionary Commission (CIMI) indicates that at least 48 indigenous people were murdered in Brazil in 2006, and at least 78 in 2007. The most serious problems of violence are in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, in which 48 of the killings in 2007 took place.105 Much of this violence is associated with the situation of the Kaiowá branch of the Guaraní people, who are confined to small reserves in this state.

The state of Pernambuco is home to some ten different indigenous peoples. Among them, the Truká, with a population of around 3,000, live in a territory of roughly 6,000 hectares on an archipelago on the São Francisco river.106 The UN Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism indicates that, in their efforts to secure their lands, Truká leaders are criminalised by the federal police. On 30 June 2005, Adenilson Dos Santos, the leader of the Truká and his 17-year-old son were murdered in front of their family and community by four military police officers. At the time of the Special Rapporteur’s mission in October 2005, the only investigation that had been initiated was into an alleged crime of resistance by the two victims. This murder is most likely linked to the opposition of the Truká to the deviation of the São Francisco river, which they fear will seriously compromise their survival on their ancestral land.107 One of the principal witnesses to the assassination of Dos Santos and his son was Morenzi Truká. Morenzi, a long-time campaigner for the land and water rights of the Truká people and a candidate for local political office in the Town Council of the town of Cabrobó, was subsequently killed by a gunman in Cabrobó on 23

104. Ibid.
105. See: www.cimi.org.br
August 2008.\textsuperscript{108}

As is the case with all manifestations of violence discussed in this report, the violence directed at indigenous communities has a direct and damaging impact on the enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights. Appendix 5 of this report reproduces, in translation, a letter from the teachers and students of the Tupinambá people of Serra do Padeiro in Bahia, following a federal police operation on 23 October 2008 involving at least 100 police and a helicopter with the aim of arresting the Tupinambá’s leader. During the operation, police fired tear gas and injured more than ten community members. The letter clearly details the disruption caused to schooling in the community by the police action, as well as the psychological impact on the pupils and teachers alike.

\textsuperscript{108} The assassinations of Adenilson Dos Santos and Jorge Dos Santos were reported to the UN on 19 July 2005, by way of Official Letter no. 078/05 JG/RJ, and were included in the publication “On the Front Line: Human Rights Defenders in Brazil (2002–2005)” (“Na linha de Frente: Defensores de Direitos Humanos no Brasil (2002–2005)”) from the organisations Justiça Global and Terra de Direitos, available at: www.global.org.br
9. Conclusions

Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised communities are caught in a cycle of violence and poverty, a cycle that for many turns out to be deadly, and from which few are able to escape. Measures such as radically rethinking the country’s policing policies, reforming the prison system and ensuring adequate mechanisms to address the situation of women and children victims of violence are clearly necessary, but an effective and sustainable response to this violence and poverty must inevitably also tackle the inequality and discrimination that are so prevalent in Brazilian society. It is, above all, the extreme disparities in access to opportunities and services that perpetuate Brazil’s high levels of violence.

Discrimination in Brazil has many dimensions, including gender, skin colour, ethnicity, place of residence and socio-economic status. One of its most damaging manifestations is in the indiscriminate identification of Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised citizens, and in particular the residents of the country’s favelas, as “criminals”. This label also often extends to the individuals and movements engaged in promoting and protecting the human rights of these and other disadvantaged groups. The phenomenon of criminalisation, fed in part by media representations and statements from certain officials and politicians, contributes to ongoing and arbitrary abuses of the poor at the hands of the police, punctuated at times by intense episodes of indiscriminate and lethal violence directed at entire communities. Equally, this criminalization can serve as a justification for the abandonment of these communities to the tyranny of organised gangs or militias, whose ultimate aim in employing violence is to extend their economic influence. The association of socio-economic disadvantage and criminality also has implications as regards access to justice for the poor. In particular, this report has considered the obstacles faced by women, and poor women especially, in seeking protection from and redress for acts of violence. The Brazilian State, either by act or omission, is deeply implicated in all these situations.

Ironically, this prejudice against the poor, manifest in violence, only results in even greater socio-economic exclusion and severely impedes attempts to reduce Brazil’s high levels of socio-economic disparity. It also has a measurable impact on Brazil’s economy, with the cost of violence in all its forms estimated to amount to 5 per cent of the country’s gross domestic product.

Individuals and communities for whom poverty and violence are daily features of life indicate specific measures that they consider should be
taken to reduce violence, and State violence in particular. Thus, for example, they suggest including a clear and effective human rights dimension in police training and encouraging the use of non-lethal arms in the apprehension of suspects, but significantly, their primary focus when discussing ways in which to reduce levels of violence is on ensuring respect for economic, social and cultural rights. They argue that their communities would be less dangerous places to live if the residents were not dogged by discrimination and instead had access to quality education and the real possibility of finding adequately paid work in the formal sector. In many cases, the necessary legislation is in place or the appropriate policy exists on paper to promote these developments – the challenge is one of ensuring that their implementation is effective and that the benefits of these measures are felt by all of Brazil’s citizens.
10. Recommendations

The following recommendations for the Government of Brazil are specific to the link between the denial of economic, social and cultural rights and violence. They have been developed to reflect the ideas and suggestions that were made by experts and victims of violence alike during the preparatory mission for this report.

These recommendations have been grouped to reflect the themes addressed by the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. They include, in addition, a number of complementary recommendations that, while not linked to specific articles of the Covenant, are nonetheless essential to ending the “criminalisation of poverty” analysed in this report.

The Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

- Set an international precedent and lead by example by signing and ratifying the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights when the Protocol opens for signatures in 2009, in order to provide the Committee with competence to receive and consider communications and complaints regarding violations of economic, social and cultural rights.

The promotion and protection of all human rights, including economic, social and cultural rights

- Echoing the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders following her mission to Brazil, call upon the Government to:
- Adopt more comprehensive strategies for the protection of human rights defenders, including defenders of economic, social and cultural rights, together with ending impunity for violations affecting them and unambiguously articulate support for activities in defence of human rights;
- In view of the urgency of addressing the problem of criminalisation of human rights activity, instruct the Special Secretariat for Human Rights and the Federal Ministério Público to make joint efforts to collect and analyse the cases brought against human rights defenders
in order to propose legislation or policy guidelines to prevent prosecution of defenders for carrying out activities in defence of human rights, including economic, social and cultural rights; and

- Assume a more proactive role in addressing social conflict and in legitimising defenders’ participation and intervention on behalf of local movements. In particular, to assume the responsibility of ensuring that defenders are not left isolated in their struggle or support for social justice against powerful or influential social entities and economic interests.

**Non-discrimination (article 2)**

- Develop a holistic approach to end the discrimination and violence experienced by Brazil’s poorest citizens, including those in informal settlements and favelas. Necessary dimensions include economic and social development (employment creation, education initiatives, health services, enhancing the status of women, etc), enhancement of the rule of law (strengthening and training the judiciary, training the police and local administrators in human rights), the creation of space for the meaningful involvement of civil society and the participation of local communities, including in the process of monitoring. This initiative should be accompanied by the funds necessary for its effective implementation;

- Introduce measures, including positive discrimination in the fields of employment, training and education, to combat prejudice, including institutional racism, and ensure that Brazilians of African descent, indigenous peoples, quilombola communities and other groups vulnerable to socio-economic exclusion and violence enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights without discrimination of any kind. This should include ensuring that they have access to basic services, including water and sanitation, as well as to health and education services of an adequate quality;

- Likewise, introduce measures, including positive discrimination in the fields of employment, training and education, to ensure that Brazilians who reside in informal settlements and favelas and who are vulnerable to both socio-economic exclusion and violence enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights without discrimination of any kind. This should include ensuring that they have access to basic services, including water and sanitation, as well as to health and education services of an adequate quality;

- Introduce measures, including appropriate educational, training and
employment opportunities in Brazil’s prisons and juvenile detention centres, to ensure that detainees who are vulnerable to both socio-economic exclusion and violence enjoy their economic, social and cultural rights without discrimination of any kind. Ensure adequate support services for the families of detainees, particularly those who do not qualify for financial support (salário reclusão), since these families are often particularly vulnerable to socio-economic exclusion; and

- Develop and implement communication strategies, including public awareness campaigns, to break the widely held association of poverty with criminality.

**Equality for women (article 3)**

- Identify and address specific cultural values that discriminate against and compromise the human rights of women and children, including their right to protection from all forms of violence. Create economic opportunities for women in order to promote their income-generating capacity and financial independence;
- Ensure that all Brazilian women victims of violence, including those from the poorest and most marginalised communities, enjoy in practice and not merely on paper, access to support structures and legal redress. Provide public resources and establish more services for women victims of violence, rather than relying on the support provided by civil society organisations; and
- Raise awareness of women and children’s human rights, including economic, social and cultural rights, among the public at large, and in specific groups, including the police and judiciary. Advocate that violence against women and children is unacceptable.

**Work and conditions of work (articles 6 and 7)**

- Create specific employment programmes for residents of disadvantaged urban areas to compensate for discrimination in the job market;
- In conjunction with the private sector, develop and extend employment programmes for former prisoners to promote their social reintegration and to avoid that they revert to illegal or criminal activities; and
Echoing the recommendation of the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, improve conditions of employment in the police services to discourage police corruption and take firm steps to eradicate the illegal practice of bico (second jobs to round up wages). Off-duty police should under no circumstances be permitted to work for private security firms. To facilitate such changes, the police should be paid significantly higher salaries, and the shift structure of police work should be reformed so that police cannot regularly work for large blocks of time and then receive multiple days off.

**Protection of children from exploitation (article 10)**

- Ensure the effective implementation of the current legislation protecting and promoting the rights of children and enforce all related safeguards; and
- Ensure that children are not unlawfully arrested and detained; ensure that in case of legal arrest, children are granted legal services, are held in separate facilities from adults and are protected from police brutality, irrespective of their economic means.

**Adequate standard of living (article 11)**

- Further promote social inclusion policies for Brazil’s poorest and most marginalised populations to reduce inequalities in both income and opportunity;
- Ensure the concrete implementation of the constitutional provisions related to land and adopt an agrarian reform consistent with the principles enunciated under the Constitution. This reform should address land conflict, ensure equitable land distribution and guarantee access to land;
- Elaborate a national policy on the regularisation of land occupation and simplify the issuance of title deeds, including those related to rural settlements, indigenous lands and quilombola communities;
- Undertake a census of quilombolas to assess the degree of recognition of quilombola lands. Proceed to the recognition and handing over of property titles to quilombolas;
- Establish an interministerial task force to address the issues of idle lands, land occupation and redistribution, in accordance with the constitutional provisions that guarantee the social function of land; and
• Ensure that immediate action is taken to guarantee that prison conditions meet minimum standards, including those laid down in the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners. Overcrowding should be reduced through more use of alternative sentences, open prison regimes and the construction of new prisons.

The highest attainable standard of physical and mental health (article 12)

• Recognise that the high levels of violence in Brazilian society, including gang violence, violence perpetrated by police and penitentiary agents, and violence against women and children, have a direct impact on the physical and mental health of many Brazilians, and provide adequate medical, counselling and support services in areas particularly affected by violence; and
• Ensure that all health professionals are aware of and take into account the repercussions of domestic violence on the health and wellbeing of women.

Education (article 13)

• Introduce a stronger human rights component in the education system, including the nurturing of positive values as regards women, persons of African descent, indigenous peoples and other groups that are victims of discrimination or targets of prejudice;
• Introduce reforms in the prison system to ensure adequate living conditions for all prisoners and to provide appropriate education and training opportunities of sufficient quality to support their reintegration into society and thus prevent prisons from serving as a source of further crime and violence; and
• Likewise, introduce reforms in the juvenile system to ensure adequate living conditions for all youth in juvenile detention centres and to provide appropriate education and training opportunities of sufficient quality to support their reintegration into society and thus prevent these centres from serving as a source of further crime and violence.

Culture and cultural life (article 15)

• Take all necessary steps to implement fully and effectively Federal
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Law no. 11645/08 on the obligation to include the teaching of Afro-Brazilian and indigenous history and culture in all primary and middle schools as a means to overcoming prejudice towards Brazil’s citizens of African descent and indigenous peoples.

**Complementary measures to break the links between poverty and violence**

- A necessary precondition for the promotion of economic, social and cultural rights in Brazil is the establishment of the rule of law in areas where the State is effectively absent. This must be achieved in a planned and systematic manner, and in full respect of human rights. It cannot be achieved by means of “mega-operations” and the application of the current model of policing based on aggressive confrontation and impunity for human rights violations, but calls rather for a new model of policing with a strong community dimension:
- Consistently compile and make available data on the profile of victims of all forms of violence, including their socio-economic status, in order to monitor and better address violence in society and its disproportionate impact on certain groups, including the poorest and most marginalised;
- Similarly, provide complete statistical data on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, torture and ill-treatment as well as police violence and violence perpetrated by public agents inside prisons;
- Review, improve and ensure the full independence of mechanisms for monitoring and ensuring the accountability of the State security apparatus, particularly the State military police. Support the establishment of a transparent monitoring mechanism to oversee the investigation of extrajudicial killings and the prosecution of perpetrators. Ensure that full and appropriate disciplinary measures are taken against police officers and other public officials found guilty of abusing their position;
- Echoing the recommendation of the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, ensure that state governments, and especially the state government of Rio de Janeiro eschew large-scale police operations against organised gangs in favour of systematic and planned progress, in full respect of human rights, in reasserting a sustained police presence and government authority in gang-controlled areas;
- Likewise, within the limits of the law, and in full respect of human rights, take firm and decisive steps to dismantle the network of
militias that control economic resources and services in many poor urban neighbourhoods;

- Invest in training for the federal, civil and military police alike, and ensure that all police officers and penitentiary agents are educated in human rights, including the human rights of women, and in community policing techniques. In particular, raise awareness among the police of the economic, social and cultural rights, as well as the civil and political rights of Brazil’s poorest citizens;

- Place greater emphasis on investigative techniques rather than interrogation in police training;

- Create more, and ensure a more effective distribution of, police stations specialised in dealing with violence against women and children (delegacias especializadas de atendimento à mulher) and allocate the resources necessary for the effective investigation of all allegations of violence against women. Promote the recruitment of female police officers;

- Develop a systematic training and awareness-raising programme for all law enforcement officials and members of the judiciary in relation to the investigation, prosecution and punishment of gender-based violence;

- As a matter of urgency, address violence against quilombola communities and their leaders, in particular assassinations, and ensure the absolute protection of quilombola leaders and communities;

- Likewise, as a matter of urgency, address violence against indigenous peoples, in particular assassinations, and ensure the absolute protection of indigenous leaders and communities; and

- Establish an independent commission to carry out an impartial and thorough investigation into cases of violence against indigenous peoples related to conflict over land, the result of which must be made public.
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Committee on Economic, Social And Cultural Rights
Forty-second session
Geneva, 4 - 22 May 2009

E/C.12/BRA/CO/2
22 May 2009
Advance Unedited Version

Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under articles 16 and 17 of the Covenant

Concluding Observations of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Brazil

1. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights considered the second periodic report of Brazil on the implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (E/C.12/BRA/2) at its 6th, 7th and 8th meetings held on 6th and 7th May 2009 (E/C.12/2009/SR.6-8) and adopted, at its 23rd meeting held on 19 May 2009, the following concluding observations.

A. Introduction

2. The Committee welcomes the submission of the second periodic report of the State party and the opportunity to engage in a constructive dialogue with the State party. The Committee also welcomes the State party's written replies to its list of issues, as well as the responses provided by the multisectoral delegation of the State party to the Committee's oral questions.

B. Positive aspects

3. The Committee welcomes the legislative and other measures adopted by the State party since the examination of its initial report, including the following:
(a) the “Maria da Penha Law”, passed in 2006, which provides for the repression of domestic and family violence against women, for protective measures and for assistance to victims;
(b) the removal from the Penal Code of the discriminatory concept of an “honest woman”, previously applied in certain cases of sexual violence against women;
(c) the introduction, in 2003, of the National Qualification Plan to coordinate public policies on employment for disadvantaged groups, including indigenous people, Afro-Brazilians and women;
(d) the National School Food Programme established to provide meals free of charge for 37 million school children in public schools;
(e) the Brazil Free of Homophobia Programme, which aims to protect and promote the rights of homosexual persons, including their rights to personal security, education, health and work;
(f) compulsory licensing of HIV/AIDS anti-retroviral drugs in order to make them affordable and enable the extension of treatment to all patients;
(g) the National Housing of Social Interest System (SNHIS), whose main task is to urbanise slums, build houses, and improve the housing conditions of low-income groups;
(h) the National Fund for Housing of Social Interest, together with its Managing Council, designed to centralise and manage budgetary resources for programmes under the SNHIS.

4. The Committee notes with satisfaction the broad consultation with civil society organisations in the process of the preparation of the State party’s second periodic report.

(i) 5. The Committee welcomes the ratification by the State party of the following international instruments:
(j) ILO Convention No. 169 concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (April 2004);
(k) the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (March 2006); and
(l) the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (May 2003).
C. Factors and difficulties impeding the implementation of the covenant

6. The Committee notes the absence of any significant factors or difficulties impeding the effective implementation of the Covenant in the State party.

D. Principal subjects of concern and recommendations

7. The Committee notes with concern that the Council on the Defence of the Rights of the Human Person has yet to comply with the 1993 Principles relating to the status of national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights (Paris Principles).

The Committee recommends that the State party adopt the necessary measures, legislative or otherwise, to enable the Council on the Defence of the Rights of the Human Person to fully conform to the Paris Principles. The Committee also urges the State party to ensure that economic, social and cultural rights are fully covered by the mandate of the Council on the Defence of the Rights of the Human Person and that the necessary resources are allocated for its effective functioning.

8. The Committee is deeply concerned about the culture of violence and impunity prevalent in the State party. In this regard, the Committee is concerned about reports that human rights defenders, including those assisting individuals and communities in asserting their economic, social and cultural rights, are threatened, harassed and subjected to violence, frequently by private militias commissioned by private and public actors. The Committee is also deeply concerned about the reports of the failure of the Brazilian authorities to ensure the safety of human rights defenders and to prosecute those responsible for committing such acts.

The Committee recommends that the State party take all necessary measures to combat the culture of violence and impunity prevalent in the State party and to ensure the protection of human rights defenders against any violence,
threats, retaliation, pressure or any arbitrary action as a consequence of their activities. The Committee recommends that the State party improve its human rights training for law enforcement officials, especially police officers, and ensure that all allegations of human rights violations are promptly and thoroughly investigated by an independent body capable of prosecuting perpetrators.

9. The Committee is concerned at the slow progress in the land reform process notwithstanding the Constitutional rights to property and self-determination, as well as the enactment of legislation to facilitate the demarcation of land belonging to the indigenous peoples, the State party’s adoption of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) and its ratification of the ILO Convention No. 169 concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries. (art. 1.1)

The Committee recalls the recommendation made in its concluding observations on the State party’s initial report in this regard and recommends that the State party expeditiously complete the process of demarcation and allocation of indigenous land in accordance with the Constitution and existing laws.

10. The Committee remains concerned about the persistent inequalities in economic conditions and associated social injustice prevailing in the State party between different regions, communities and individuals, despite the positive measures taken by the State party in that regard, such as the Zero Hunger Programme and the increase in the minimum wage. (art 2.2)

The Committee recommends that the State party intensify its efforts to reduce the persisting inequalities and social injustice between different regions, communities and individuals.

11. The Committee notes with concern that there remains a significant discrepancy between the respective life expectancies of the black and white populations despite the increase in the average life expectancy
from 65.6 years in the early 1990s to 71.6 years in 2004. Furthermore, the Committee remains concerned at the significant difference in the poverty levels of black and white population groups, while noting that the overall poverty indicators in the State party improved between 2001 and 2004. (art. 2.2)

The Committee recommends that the State party take all appropriate measures to address the discrepancy between the life expectancies and poverty levels of the black and white population groups through a sharper focus on health and poverty eradication programmes for the former. The Committee requests updated statistical information and data on life expectancy and poverty levels in the State party, disaggregated by region and ethnic group.

12. The Committee notes that illiteracy rates remain a problem in the State party and that inequalities persist in literacy levels between the white and black populations. The Committee is further concerned that the average illiteracy rate is significantly higher in rural areas in the north of the State party. (art. 2.2)

The Committee urges the State party to strengthen remedial action to address the problem of illiteracy, particularly in rural areas and in the Afro Brazilian community.

13. The Committee is concerned that persons with disabilities still suffer discrimination in access to employment despite the quotas for the employment of persons with disabilities in both the public and private sectors. (art. 2.2)

The Committee encourages the State party to effectively implement its measures to overcome the obstacles faced by persons with disabilities in accessing the labour market.

14. The Committee is concerned that negative gender roles persist, including the representation of women as sex objects and the traditional stereotypes of women in the family and in society, and that these may render
women more vulnerable to domestic and other forms of violence. Moreover, although women in general have a higher educational level than men, they are still under-represented in elected office, in administrative and managerial posts, are concentrated in less remunerative and/or part-time employment and receive lower average pay and limited social protection. (art. 3)

The Committee urges the State party to enforce its legislation on gender equality, and to take all effective measures, including through the use of media and education, to overcome the traditional stereotypes regarding the status of women in the public and private spheres and to ensure, in practice, equality between men and women in all fields of life, as provided for in articles 2(2) and 3 of the Covenant. In this regard, the Committee draws the attention of the State party to its General Comment No. 16 (2005) on the equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all economic, social and cultural rights and its General Comment No. 19 (2007) on the right to social security.

15. The Committee notes with concern the large numbers of Brazilians employed under inhuman and degrading conditions similar to slavery or subjected to forced labour and other exploitative labour conditions, particularly in forest clearing, logging, and the harvesting of sugarcane, and is concerned that the phenomenon of forced labour disproportionately affects young men from low income families. (art. 7)

The Committee recommends that the State party: take effective measures to end all forms of exploitative labour; ensure that violations concerning prohibited labour practices, such as forced labour, are stringently prosecuted; provide, in its next periodic report, information on the steps taken to address exploitative labour conditions, as well as the impact of such measures.

16. The Committee notes with concern persisting racial inequalities in access to employment, particularly affecting Afro-Brazilians and
indigenous people. Furthermore, the Committee is concerned at the disparity in working conditions based on gender and race, despite the State party’s initiatives in this area. The Committee also notes with regret the absence of statistical data on the extent to which indigenous people living outside settlements enjoy access to employment. (arts 2.2 and 7)

The Committee recommends that the State party continue to strengthen its legal and institutional mechanisms aimed at combating discrimination in the field of employment and facilitating equal access to employment opportunities for women and for persons belonging to racial, ethnic and national minorities. The Committee requests the State party to provide, in its next periodic report, information on the extent to which access to employment is available to indigenous peoples living outside settlements.

17. The Committee is concerned at reports of the murders of union leaders. In addition, the Committee notes with concern that trade union leaders are frequently subjected to other forms of harassment, including intimidation and malicious prosecution, despite the steps taken by the State party to improve the implementation of article 8(1) of the Convention, including the long-overdue legalisation of trade union federations. (art. 8)

The Committee recommends that the State party take adequate measures to ensure the protection of trade union members and leaders from all forms of harassment and intimidation and thoroughly investigate reports alleging any form of violence.

18. The Committee is also concerned at reports that membership of trade unions frequently results in the blacklisting of trade union members and leaders. (art. 8)

The Committee recommends that the State party take effective measures to ensure that employees participating in trade unions are not subjected to blacklisting and that they are able freely to exercise their rights under article 8 of the Covenant.
19. The Committee is concerned at the high proportion of the population excluded from any form of social security, especially the high number of people employed in the informal economy. In particular, the Committee is concerned that the majority of domestic workers are not eligible for any social security benefits and that the provision for persons who have not been able to contribute to the social security system is inadequate. In this regard, the Committee notes that the Continued Benefit programme, for instance, is available only to persons with an income of less than 25% of the minimum wage. (art. 9)

The Committee recommends that the State party: (a) strengthen its measures to provide social security coverage for the economically disadvantaged populations, and that it be made available to persons who are unable to contribute towards the system; and (b) intensify its efforts to regularise the situation of workers in the informal economy to enable them to benefit from a basic social protection package that may include an old age pension, a maternity benefit and access to healthcare.

20. The Committee is concerned that, despite its significant contribution to poverty reduction, the Family Grant Programme (Bolsa Familia) is subject to certain limitations. (art. 9)

The Committee strongly recommends that the State party: (a) take all necessary measures to extend the Family Grant Programme to cover the large number of families that do not receive the benefit; (b) improve the efficacy of the programme by reviewing targeting mechanisms to ensure equal access for the poorest families, particularly indigenous families; (c) increase the rent benefit under the programme in order to enable recipients to enjoy their basic rights to food and housing; (d) consider making the benefit universally available in order to ensure a guaranteed minimum income, in particular for the most disadvantaged and marginalised persons and families; and (e) ensure that the programme integrates economic, social
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and cultural rights in line with the Committee’s Statement on Poverty and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, adopted on 4 May 2001 (E/C.12/2001/10).

21. The Committee notes with concern the prevalence of domestic violence against women in the State party. (art. 10.1)

The Committee recommends that the State party strengthen measures to combat violence against women and its effects by, inter alia: (a) effectively enforcing the existing legislation on domestic violence; (b) strengthening public awareness campaigns against domestic violence; and (c) strengthening support for victims of domestic violence in order to ensure their access to adequate services for recovery, counselling and other forms of rehabilitation.

22. The Committee is concerned at the prevalence of sexual abuse of and violence against children, particularly girls, and at the absence of information on measures taken to address this phenomenon or to assist child victims of abuse. (art. 10.1 and 10.3)

The Committee recommends that the State party implement its measures against child sexual abuse, especially the abuse of girls, through, inter alia, monitoring, reporting, prosecution as well as through information campaigns targeting parents, communities and children. The Committee also recommends that instances of abuse and neglect of children be properly investigated within a child-sensitive inquiry and judicial procedure in order to ensure better protection of child victims, particularly the protection of their right to privacy. The Committee recommends that measures be taken to provide support services to children in legal proceedings, and for the physical and psychological recovery and social reintegration of the victims of rape and other sexual abuse or violence.

23. The Committee is concerned that child labour continues to be
widespread in the State party, despite the measures taken. and the reduction in the cases of child labour for the 5-9 years age group. (art. 10.3)

The Committee recommends that the State party: (a) intensify its efforts to combat child labour; (b) ensure that child labour is effectively prosecuted; (c) take measures to rehabilitate victims of child labour; (d) provide, in its next periodic report, information on the steps taken to address the problem of child labour, as well as the impact of such measures.

24. The Committee is concerned that, despite the State party’s efforts to address the phenomenon of street children, many children continue to live on the streets where they remain vulnerable to abuse, including sexual abuse, and to other forms of exploitation. (art. 10.3)

The Committee recommends that the State party: (a) take effective measures to address the root causes of the phenomenon of street children; (b) take effective and appropriate measures to ensure that street children have access to education, shelter and healthcare; (c) address the sexual abuse and other exploitation of street children through the prosecution of perpetrators of abuse and the reintegration of victims into society; and (d) include information, in its next periodic report, on the measures taken to address the situation of street children and on any progress made in this respect.

25. The Committee notes with concern that more than 6 million people in the State party live in precarious urban settlements, that there is a large number of homeless people and that significant migration inflows into urban areas have exacerbated the housing shortage. The Committee is further concerned about the absence of adequate measures to provide social housing for low-income families and disadvantaged and marginalised individuals and groups, while acknowledging the State party’s efforts in this regard. (art. 11.1)

The Committee recommends that the State party adopt
additional measures to deal with the problem of homelessness, to ensure adequate access to housing for low-income families, disadvantaged and marginalised individuals and groups and to improve the water and sanitation facilities of existing housing units.

26. The Committee is deeply concerned that continued deforestation in the State party, albeit at a slower pace, impacts negatively the enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights under the Covenant. (art. 11.2.(a)

The Committee recommends that the State party take the necessary measures to combat continued deforestation in order to ensure the effective enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights, especially by indigenous and vulnerable groups of people.

27. The Committee is concerned that the growing number of HIV/AIDS cases registered during the last decade constitutes a serious health problem. The Committee notes with concern that although treatment with anti-retroviral drug therapy is available for free in the State party, the prevalence of HIV/AIDS is still high. In this regard, the Committee notes the higher prevalence of HIV/AIDS among economically disadvantaged communities. (art. 12.1 and 12.2.(c)

The Committee recommends that the State party intensify its efforts to control the spread of HIV/AIDS. The Committee further recommends that the State party continue to strengthen measures to address the special vulnerability of marginalised sections of society to HIV/AIDS.

28. The Committee is concerned that maternal mortality rates remain extremely high and that the risk of maternal death disproportionately affects marginalised communities, particularly Afro-Brazilians, indigenous women and women from rural areas. Furthermore, the Committee notes that these disparities are attributable, in part, to the inequitable distribution of emergency obstetric care facilities and to the fact that health-care funding
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fails to pay adequate attention to disadvantaged populations. The Committee is particularly concerned that the majority of maternal deaths are preventable with adequate medical care. (art. 12.1 and 12.2(d)

The Committee recommends that the State party, taking into account the Committee’s General Comment No. 14(2000) on the Right to Health: (a) strengthen measures to reduce maternal mortality rates; (b) increase healthcare funding for disadvantaged populations; (c) ensure that the people living in poverty have access to free primary health care; (d) establish community-based maternal health-care systems and referral systems for obstetric emergencies; (e) ensure the equitable availability of healthcare facilities, particularly obstetric facilities, among the economically disadvantaged populations; (f) ensure that economically disadvantaged populations have equitable access, in particular, to sexual and reproductive healthcare, taking the necessary measures to provide obstetric services of a high quality; and (g) provide, in its next periodic report, detailed and updated information, including disaggregated statistical data and indicators, in order to assess the level of progress achieved in that area.

29. The Committee notes with concern that clandestine abortions remain a major cause of death among women. (art. 12.1 and 12.2.(d)

The Committee reiterates the recommendation made in its concluding observations on the State party’s initial report, namely that the Committee requests the State party to undertake legislative and other measures, including a review of its present legislation, to protect women from the effects of clandestine and unsafe abortion and to ensure that women do not resort to such harmful procedures. The Committee requests the State party to provide in its next periodic report detailed information, based on comparative data, about maternal mortality and abortion in Brazil.

30. The Committee notes with concern that it is still permissible to
promote the use of tobacco through advertising in the State party and that, while the use of tobacco-derived products is banned in publicly accessible areas, smoking is permitted in areas specially designed for the purpose. The Committee notes, however, that the State party has taken important steps to reduce the threat tobacco poses for life, health, the environment and the general population by ratifying the World Health Organization’s Framework Convention on Tobacco Control and developing public policies to reduce tobacco use. (art. 12.1)

The Committee recommends that the State party take measures to ban the promotion of tobacco products and enact legislation to ensure that all enclosed public environments are completely free of tobacco.

31. The Committee is concerned that 43% of children between 7 and 14 years of age do not complete the eighth grade of basic education at the proper age, despite the State party’s efforts to ensure the provision of compulsory elementary education free of charge and its programmes to encourage parents and caregivers to enrol young children in primary school. (art. 13.1 and 13.2 (a)

The Committee recommends that the State party: (a) conduct a study to establish the full range of factors which contribute to children failing to complete primary school at the proper age; (b) draw up policies and implement strategies to address the factors identified; (c) include, in its next periodic report, information on the measures taken under subparagraphs (a) and (b) above and any progress achieved.

32. The Committee is concerned that there remain significant disparities in access to higher education based on region, ethnic origin and gender. The Committee acknowledges the various initiatives taken by the State party to grant wider access to higher education, including the Programme for the Incorporation of Vocational Training into Secondary Education, in the form of Youth and Adult Education (Proeja) and the University for All Programme. (arts. 2.2 and 13.2 (c)
The Committee recommends that the State party design and implement strategies to improve access to higher education by disadvantaged groups and provide, in its next periodic report, information on the impact of measures taken in this regard.

33. The Committee notes with concern that the enjoyment of the right to cultural life under article 15 of the Covenant is largely limited to the educated and/or affluent segments of society in the State party and that cultural resources and assets are concentrated in large cities, with relatively little provision being made for smaller regions and towns. (art. 15.1.(a)

The Committee recommends that the State party take measures to encourage the broader participation of its citizens in cultural life, inter alia, by: (a) ensuring the wider availability of cultural resources and assets, particularly in smaller cities and regions, and ensuring, in this regard, special provision through subsidies and other forms of assistance for those who lack the means to participate in the cultural activities of their choice; and (b) incorporating into the school curricula education on the rights guaranteed under article 15 of the Covenant.

34. The Committee requests the State party to provide, in its third periodic report, detailed information regarding the question whether the rights enshrined in the Covenant can and have been directly applied in its courts.

35. The Committee recommends that the State party provide, in its third periodic report, updated statistical data on the enjoyment of each Covenant right, disaggregated by age, gender, ethnic origin, urban/rural population and other relevant status, on an annual comparative basis over the past five years.

36. The Committee invites the State party to consider ratifying the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Convention on the Protection of the
Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families.

37. The Committee requests the State party to disseminate the present concluding observations widely among all segments of society, particularly among State officials, the judiciary and civil society organizations, to translate them into all local languages and to inform the Committee on the steps taken to implement them in its next periodic report. It also encourages the State party to continue engaging non-governmental organisations and other members of civil society in the process of discussion at the national level prior to the submission of its next periodic report.

38. The Committee invites the State party to submit a common core document in accordance with the 2006 harmonised guidelines on reporting to the international human rights treaty monitoring bodies (HRI/GEN/2/Rev.4, Chap. I).

39. The Committee requests the State party to submit its third periodic report by 30 June 2014.
Appendix 1

_MNMMR – Justiça Global – OMCT_

Preparatory mission, Brazil, 10–17 February 2009
(Recife, Pernambuco – Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro)

---

**Monday 9 February**

16h00
- OMCT staff member arrives in Recife

---

**Tuesday 10 February**

11h00
- MNMMR staff (two) arrive in Recife

12h00–16h30, Recife
- Preparatory meeting, MNMMR and OMCT

---

**Wednesday 11 February**

9h00–18h00, Recife
- Workshop at SOS Corpo – Instituto Feminista para a Democracia (16 civil society organisations represented. See list of participants below)
- Interview with TV Universitario, Recife

---

**Thursday 12 February**

9h30–11h00, Recife
- Meeting with Wilma Melo, SEMPRI – Serviço Ecumênico de Militância nas Prisões

11h30–13h00, Olinda
- Interviews with three female victims of violence, IJA – Instituto Joanna de Ângelis

15h00–16h00, Recife
- Meeting with GAJOP – Gabinete de Assessoria Jurídica às Organizações Populares
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(six GAJOP staff)

16h30–18h00, Olinda
- Meeting with APOINME – Articulação do Povos Indígenas do Nordeste, Minas Gerais e Espírito Santo (three APOINME staff)

Friday 13 February

10h30–12h30, Agua Preta, Palmares
- Meeting with Associação de Mulheres di Agua Preta (six association members, two female victims of violence)

16h00–17h00, Recife
- Meeting with Ana Paula Maravalho, Observatório Negro

17h30–19h30, Recife
- Casa de Passagem, Santo Amaro – Centro Brasilierno da Criança e do Adolescente (four staff, two male and three female victims of violence)

Saturday 14 February

10h00–12h00, Recife
- Interviews with two male and one female victims of violence, SOS Corpo – Instituto Feminista para a Democracia

Sunday 15 February

- MNMMR staff return to Goiania, OMCT transfer to Rio de Janeiro

Monday 16 February

10h00–15h30, Rio de Janeiro
- Preparatory meeting, Justiça Global and OMCT

16h00–17h30, Rio de Janeiro
- Interviews with one male and two female victims of violence, Oficina de Direitos Humanos, Lapa

19h30–21h30, Rio de Janeiro
- Meeting with GTNM-RJ – Grupo Tortura Nunca Mais
Tuesday 17 February

10h30–11h30, Rio de Janeiro
- Meeting with Joba Alves, MST – Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra

12h00–13h00, Rio de Janeiro
- Meeting with Márcia Adriana Fernandes, CESeC – Centro de Estudos de Segurança e Cidadania/Associação pela Reforma Prisional

14h00–15h30, Rio de Janeiro
- Meeting with Tomas Ramos, Projeto Legal

15h45–17h00, Rio de Janeiro
- Meeting with Rede de Comunidades e Movimentos contra a Violência (one male and four female victims of violence, plus staff)

OMCT staff member departs
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MNMMR/OMCT meeting with civil society, Pernambuco, 11 February 2009, SOS Corpo – Instituto Feminista para a Democracia, Rua Real de Torre, 593 Madalena, Recife, PE

Organisations present

Associação Carnaubense de Apoio à Família (ACAF)

Associação Espírita Lar Transitório de Christie

Articulação dos Povos Indígenas do Nordeste, Minas Gerais e Espírito Santo (APOINME)

Casa de Passagem, Santo Amaro

Centro de Cultura Luiz Freire (CCLF)

Cidadania Feminina

Comitê Latino-americano e do Caribe para a Defesa dos Direitos da Mulher (CLADEM Brasil)

Fórum de Mulheres de Pernambuco

Gabinete de Assessoria Jurídica às Organizações Populares (GAJOP)

Instituto Joanna de Ângelis (IJA)

Movimento de Luta Popular e Comunitário de Pernambuco (MLPC-PE)

Movimento Nacional de Meninos e Meninas de Rua, Pernambuco (MNMMR-PE)

Observatório de Favelas

Relatoria Nacional dos Direitos Humanos ao Trabalho

Serviço Ecumênico de Militância nas Prisões (SEMPRI)

SOS Corpo – Instituto Feminista Para a Democracia
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**Media headlines relating to excessive use of force by the police in Rio de Janeiro, 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headline</th>
<th>Newspaper source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police operation in Rio leaves five dead</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 06/03/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot-out in the Complexo do Alemão stops traffic and leaves two dead</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 15/05/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent dawn leaves two dead in the Complexo do Alemão</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 23/06/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic rifles missing: 500 military police to make statements</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 15/07/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On third day of police actions after Pan-American Games, 20 die in 24 hours according to Legal Medical Institute</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 01/08/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young man shot at Grajaú-Jacarepaguá Road to be buried on Thursday</td>
<td><a href="http://www.g1.com.br">www.g1.com.br</a> 01/08/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police mobilise 500 men, kill two people and arrest nine</td>
<td><em>Folha de S. Paulo</em> 11/08/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega-operation in Vigário Geral leaves five dead and seven wounded</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 14/08/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police operation leaves four dead in Rio</td>
<td><em>Terra Noticias</em> 15/08/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhabitants of Muquico say that youths were executed</td>
<td><em>O Globo</em> online 24/08/2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Full list of victims of the police mega-operation in Complexo do Alemão, Rio de Janeiro, 27 June 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Cause of death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rafael Bernardino da Silva</td>
<td>20 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the right lung, heart and liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Alves da Silva</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the head, liver and spine, damage to blood vessels (necrotic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David de Souza Lima</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Gunshot to the intestines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulo Eduardo dos Santos</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the head and lungs, damage to blood vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraldo Batista Ribeiro</td>
<td>41 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the head, heart, lungs, liver and intestines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jairo César da Silva Caetano</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the intestines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruno Viana</td>
<td>22 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the heart and right lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleber Mendes</td>
<td>36 years</td>
<td>Gunshot to the neck and traumatism to the neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruno Rodrigues Alves</td>
<td>21 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the heart, right lung, liver, left kidney, stomach and intestines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Goulart</td>
<td>26 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the head, heart and right lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uanderson Gandra</td>
<td>27 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the heart and right lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcelo Luiz Madeira</td>
<td>27 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lung and aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudomiro Santos Silva</td>
<td>29 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lungs, trachea and aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alessandro José de Almeida</td>
<td>34 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the liver, lungs and heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruno Paulo Gonçalves da Rocha</td>
<td>20 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lungs and liver, damage to blood vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luiz Eduardo Severo Madeira</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lungs, liver and heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José da Silva Farias Júnior</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lungs, liver and heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafael Marques Serqueira</td>
<td>26 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the head, trachea, oesophagus and right lung, damage to blood vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Vieira da Silva</td>
<td>17 years</td>
<td>Gunshots to the lungs, heart and left kidney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Two cases of torture and murder in Brazil’s penal system

J.C., temporary detainee, tortured and murdered, Rio de Janeiro

In April 2006, J.C., an Afro-Brazilian from a disadvantaged background, was detained in the Pedro Melo Custody House in Rio de Janeiro. Subsequently, his family began to receive letters from him containing complaints of torture and ill-treatment perpetrated by penitentiary agents. In a letter to his mother, Mrs. M. C., dated 20 July 2006, J.C stated that he had been beaten by public agents using wooden instruments and that he had suffered serious injuries to his head.

On 23 July 2006, J.C was taken to the Fábio Soares Maciel Penitentiary Hospital, where he died one day later. His body was then taken to the Legal Medical Institute (LMI) to determine causa mortis. J.C’s family did not, however, learn of his death until 14 August 2006, some three weeks later, when M. C., who had received permission to visit her son, arrived at the Pedro Melo Custody House. On the same day, she went to the LMI to seek the release of her son’s body for burial. There, she was informed that her son had been buried seven days earlier.

On 16 August, M.C. returned to the LMI to obtain a document confirming the burial. On this occasion she was informed that her son’s body had in fact not been buried and had been at the LMI since 24 July. On the basis of this new information, M.C. submitted a request for her son’s burial and for the official registration of the death certificate.

On 31 August 2006, still awaiting authorisation for the burial, M. C.’s granddaughter went to the LMI to identify J.C’s body. There she found the corpse outside of a refrigerated area and in a state of decomposition – she was only able to identify the body because she recognised the tattoo on her uncle’s disfigured body. On 6 September 2006, authorisation was finally granted, and J.C’s burial took place the following day.

On 27 September 2006, Justiça Global received an official letter from the Special Department of Human Rights of the Presidency of the Republic, which originally came from the General Subsecretary of Penitentiary Administration of the state of Rio de Janeiro, informing them of the opening of administrative procedure no. E-21/901698/06 to verify only if there had been a “lack of communication with the family by the SEAP administration”. According to this document, J.C died due to a “cerebral aneurysm” and the burial was delayed due to the family’s inadequate attempts to locate his body. In fact, J.C’ death certificate indicates cause of death as “cranial encephalic
traumatism, subdural hematoma, cerebral oedema”, strongly supporting the accusations of torture and ill-treatment - including blows to the head with a wooden object - made by J.C and his family against the penitentiary agents. On 13 November 2006, M. C. and Justiça Global requested the LMI to provide a copy of the autopsy record, but no response was forthcoming. On 10 August 2007, one year after J.C’ death, a police inquiry was finally set up to investigate the fact. To date, however, no one has been called to testify, other than M. C. who did so on her own initiative on 30 July 2008.

Throughout their fight to establish the facts around J.C’s death, M. C. and her family have faced difficulty in accessing public information and have encountered significant obstacles in the Brazilian justice system. In turn, this has had a detrimental impact of the health of M. C..

**Andreu Luis da Silva de Carvalho, tortured and murdered in a young offenders recovery centre**

On 1 January 2008, 17 year old Andreu Luis da Silva de Carvalho, resident of Cantagalo, Rio de Janeiro, was brutally tortured and murdered by six agents of the General Department of Socio-educational Actions (DEGASE), on the premises of the Centre for Classification (CTR), an institution of detention for teenage offenders.

Andreu had been detained on the previous day, accused of participating in a robbery on the Ipanema seafront. Following an incident when he resisted an attack from one of the institution’s agents, he was subjected to a session of severe torture that lead to his death. Among the instruments used by his torturers were tables, chairs, broomsticks, coconuts, and a plastic bag to cover his face.

In Brazil, Law no. 8069/1990 (the Children and Adolescent Statute) is intended to ensure full protection for minors and establishes that the enjoyment of their fundamental rights should be considered an absolute priority by the State. Despite this, to date nobody has been held accountable for Andreu’s death.

As is so often the case, lack of justice in the face of violence carried out by state actors has implications for the socio-economic wellbeing of the victim’s family. Andreu’s mother and brothers periodically see voluntary psychologists. Moreover, Andreu’s mother refuses to work because she believes that she needs all of her time to search for those responsible her son’s murder. As a result the family has had to rely on basic food baskets offered by human rights organisations.
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* A letter from the Tupinambá teachers and students of Serra do Padeiro, Bahia, 24 October 2008

We, indigenous teachers and students of Serra do Padeiro express our indignation at the action of the federal police against our community. Last Tuesday afternoon, 21 October, we were surprised by a federal police helicopter flying over our classrooms, terrorising our pupils. We had to suspend classes because of the panic among the students. Returning to classes on Thursday morning, 23 October, we let the pupils out at 10am for their recreation period, at which time we were surprised by a federal police offensive which saw us attacked by gas bombs and rubber bullets. Once more the helicopter was flying over our classrooms and homes.

And the saddest and most terrifying thing is that they [the police] invaded various parts of our school such as the administrative offices, the library, the computer room, the reading room and the store for the school snacks, among others. They destroyed a lot of material that was important for our work: our archives, our pupil records and important school documents, and over and above this, they committed the crime of drinking the children’s milk. The milk from the Fome Zero (Zero Hunger) Campaign. But the worst thing of all was the damage that they caused to our cars that are used for transporting our children. They destroyed four Toyotas and one truck.

They also humiliated our students who attend middle school in the municipality of Buerarema while they were on their way back to the village, holding them for several hours inside the bus in the middle of the street. They were then taken to a public square in Buerarema where they were exposed to the rage of the city’s population who oppose our people’s struggle.

Classes were suspended due to all the destruction caused by the federal police, because we have no means to transport our pupils, and because of the psychological condition of the teachers, [who are unable] to teach classes, and of our pupils, who are still very scared.

We ask the State Secretary for Education, DIREC, and all those who can help us to punish those who are guilty of this physical and cultural destruction of our community.

Yours,

The indigenous Tupinambá teachers and students, Serra do Padeiro*, 24 October 2008

(*)This letter was accompanied by photographs of injuries consistent with those caused by rubber bullets and of damage to school property and vehicles.
Brazil: An Alternative Report to the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

The World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT) and its Brazilian partners submitted this alternative report on the human rights situation in Brazil to the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights during the Committee’s 42nd session (27 April – 15 May 2009). The purpose of this report is to identify the violations of economic, social and cultural rights that are the root causes of torture and other forms of violence in Brazil and recommend action to eliminate torture and other forms of violence by addressing those root causes. This report was prepared in collaboration with two Brazilian human rights NGOs:

- Justiça Global; and
- the National Movement of Street Boys and Girls (MNMMR).

This Publication also includes the concluding observations adopted by the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

THE CRIMINALISATION OF POVERTY

A Report on the Economic, Social and Cultural Root Causes of Torture and Other Forms of Violence in Brazil