







## TAJIKISTAN: TORTURE, ILL-TREATMENT AND ONGOING IMPUNITY

## BRIEFING PAPER FOR GOVERNMENT DELEGATIONS AHEAD OF THE UNIVERSAL PERIODIC REVIEW OF TAJIKISTAN (25<sup>th</sup> session of the UPR Working Group, May 2016), finalized on 29 March 2016

The chart below provides an overview of priority concerns relating to torture, ill-treatment and impunity in Tajikistan. It also cites key recommendations made by the United Nations (UN) Committee against Torture (CAT), the Human Rights Committee (HRC) and the Special Rapporteur on torture (SR) since Tajikistan was considered in the first cycle of the Universal Periodic Review (UPR) in 2011.<sup>1</sup> In addition, it identifies a number of questions that we kindly ask government delegations to raise during the interactive dialogue and a list of suggested recommendations aimed at

- Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Juan E. Méndez, Addendum. Follow up report: Missions to the Republic of Tajikistan and Tunisia, published on 27 February 2015. A/HRC/28/68/Add.2. (SR 2015)

- Report on follow-up to the concluding observations of the Human Rights Committee. Report of the Special Rapporteur for follow-up to concluding observations,

2thDsccwbeme2015wasCGPRofulds/With/HRCn2015al assistance of the European Union within the project "Action for Freedom from Torture in Kazakhstan and Tajikistan". Its contents are the sole responsibility of the NGOs issuing it and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union and the co-funding Open Society Foundations.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the chart below you find references to the following UN documents containing recommendations to Tajikistan: - Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture on the second periodic report of Tajikistan, adopted on 20 November 2012 and published on 21 January 2013. CAT/C/TJK/CO/2. (Abbreviation in this document: CAT)

<sup>-</sup> Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Juan E. Méndez, Addendum. Mission to Tajikistan, published on 28 January 2013. A/HRC/22/53/Add.1. (SR 2013)

<sup>-</sup> Concluding observations on the second periodic report of Tajikistan, adopted on 23 July 2013 and published on 22 August 2013. CCPR/C/TJK/CO/2. (HRC 2013)

addressing the most pressing concerns. The document was jointly issued by the NGO Coalition against Torture in Tajikistan, International Partnership for Human Rights (IPHR, Belgium), Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights (HFHR, Poland) and the World Organisation against Torture (OMCT). For more detailed information, refer to the document Tajikistan: Human Rights Situation on the Ground. Torture and Other Ill-Treatment. Submission to the UN Universal Periodic Review 25th session of the UPR Working Group, April-May 2016 (http://iphronline.org/wpcontent/uploads/2015/10/ENG-Tajikistan-UPR-Submission-on-torture-September-2015.pdf).

Overview of key concerns, questions and recommendations aimed at ending torture in Tajikist	by concerns, questions and recommendations aimed at ending torture in	tions and recommendations aimed at ending torture in Tajikist
---	---	---

Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested	Suggested recommendations
	concerns+recommendations	questions	
General concerns about torture: In 2015 the NGO	The SR on torture raised	- Can the	- The President of Tajikistan and/or
Coalition against Torture in Tajikistan registered	concern about ongoing	Government provide	other senior officials should
45 new cases of men, women and children who were	torture and ill-treatment	comprehensive	publicly state that torture and ill-
allegedly subjected to torture or ill-treatment.	and persistent impunity in	statistics on	treatment are strictly prohibited
Since January 2014 the Coalition recorded 14 cases	the follow-up report to	complaints,	during apprehension, in the course
of men who became victims of torture/ill-treatment	his visit to Tajikistan	investigations,	of criminal investigations, in
in the armed forces; seven of them died. These	(SR 2015).	prosecutions,	detention, in the army and in all
data are not comprehensive as many victims do not		convictions and	other contexts and that the
file complaints for fear of reprisals and/or	The CAT recommended	means of redress	perpetrators will be brought to
because they are disillusioned by the ongoing	Tajikistan to "reaffirm	relating to cases	justice.
impunity of the perpetrators.	the absolute prohibition	of alleged	- Set up a system and publish
	of torture and publicly	torture/ill-	comprehensive statistics on
Investigations are opened only in a small number	warn that anyone	treatment?	complaints, investigations,
of cases and - whilst the incidence of	committing such acts or	- How many	prosecutions, convictions and means
torture/ill-treatment would appear to have been	otherwise complicit or	<b>perpetrators</b> of	of redress relating to cases of
confirmed, at least partly - usually no adequate	acquiescent in torture	torture and other	alleged torture and all other forms
penalties were imposed. In cases originating from	will be held personally	forms of ill-	of ill-treatment, including in the
the armed forces commanding officers have been	responsible before the	treatment <b>benefited</b>	army.
brought to justice in only three cases since early	law for such acts and	from <b>amnesty</b>	- Update the <b>Action Plan</b> against
2014, although there was compelling evidence to	will be subject to	legislation since	Torture to include all

suggest that senior officers carried	criminal prosecution and	the 1 <sup>st</sup> cycle of the	recommendations issued to Tajikistan
responsibility for not preventing abuse in further	appropriate penalties"	UPR?	by UN human rights bodies and
cases.	(CAT Para. 9(d)).	- Is the Government	procedures in recent years as well
		committed to	as under the UPR. Designate
In 2013 the government approved an Action Plan	The SR also urged	ensuring <b>greater</b>	timelines, authorities responsible
against Torture. Local NGOs were not involved in	Tajikistan to	consultations with	for oversight, as well as measurable
drawing it up and the Coalition against Torture's	"incorporate concrete	civil society and	indicators for implementation and
comments and recommendations were not reflected.	measures (into the Action	expert NGOs in the	accountability.
The Plan lacks clear indicators, which would allow	Plan against Torture) and	development and	- Include representatives of
for implementation to be measured or assessed.	to designate timelines,	implementation of	independent expert NGOs as full-
There is therefore a risk that although crucial	authorities responsible	future measures	fledged members of the Commission on
issues are being discussed and studied there may	for oversight, as well as	designed to combat	the Implementation of International
be no practical steps that fundamentally improve	measurable standards for	and prevent torture	Obligations in the Field of Human
the situation of torture/ill-treatment.	implementation and	and ill-treatment	Rights, which should play an active
	accountability" (SR 2015	and what steps have	role in coordinating and monitoring
	Para. 8).	been made in this	the progress of implementing
		regard?	recommendations issued to Tajikistan
			by UN human rights mechanisms.
Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested	Suggested recommendations
	concerns+recommendations	questions	
Legislative framework: Punishing perpetrators	The CAT recommended	- What measures has	- Amend the Criminal Code to ensure
adequately: In 2012 Tajikistan introduced Article	Tajikistan to "amend	the Government	that the <b>penalties</b> provided under
143-1 ("torture") to its Criminal Code. While the	article 143-1 of the	taken to ensure	Article 143-1 and all other articles
definition of torture is in line with that	Criminal Code to ensure	that perpetrators	used to punish torture and other
contained in the Convention against Torture, the	that sanctions for the	of torture and ill-	forms of ill-treatment are
penalties are not commensurate with the gravity of	offence of torture reflect	treatment do not	<b>commensurate</b> with the gravity of the
the crimes committed. In addition, many cases	its grave nature, as	benefit from	crimes committed.
involving torture are not opened under this	required by article 4 of	amnesty	- Legislate that perpetrators of
involving torture are not opened under this			
Article, but under other articles of the Criminal	the Convention" (CAT Para.	legislation?	torture and ill-treatment are
	± ±	<pre>legislation? - What steps have</pre>	torture and ill-treatment are excluded from <b>prisoners amnesties</b> .

military conduct") that also contain inadequate	The SR on torture	ensure that torture	with regard to torture and ill-
penalties).	recommended Tajikistan to	and ill-treatment	treatment.
	include "in the Law on	are subject to	
Domestic law does not exclude perpetrators of	Amnesty that no person	penalties that are	
torture/ill-treatment from benefitting from	convicted for the crime of	commensurate to the	
prisoners amnesties. NGOs recorded several cases	torture may benefit from	gravity of the	
in recent years where torturers were released from	an act of amnesty." He	crimes committed?	
prison following such amnesties or had their	also urged to revoke the		
sentences reduced. We are also concerned that	statute of limitations for		
Tajikistani legislation contains a statute of	acts of torture and ill-		
limitations applicable to the crimes of	treatment (SR 2013 Paras.		
torture/ill-treatment.	99(a), (d)).		
Access to legal safeguards: Torture in Tajikistan	The CAT urged Tajikistan	- Can the	Amend the Criminal Procedure Code
ordinarily takes place at the onset of detention,	to "(c)larify the status	Government clarify	to:
before the person's detention is formally	of suspects, accused	when a person is	- clarify that a person is
registered. During this period, that can last for	persons and witnesses in	considered to be a	considered a detainee as soon as
hours or even days, detainees are typically de	the law on detention	detainee and from	he/she is deprived of liberty, no
facto held incommunicado, without access to	procedures and conditions	what moment he/she	matter whether he/she is detained on
lawyers, doctors or their family. The practice of	of suspects, accused	is entitled to	criminal or administrative charges
summoning people as "witnesses" or using arrest	persons and defendants by	<pre>legal safeguards?</pre>	or summoned as a <b>witness;</b>
for a purported administrative offence as an	providing them with the	Clarify, in	- ensure that all detainees are
excuse to remand someone for the purpose of a	same procedural	particular, the	entitled to prompt access to
criminal investigation until they are officially	safeguards at the time of	access to legal	procedural safeguards, such as
arrested as criminal suspects, continues. In	apprehension (CAT 2012	safeguards of	unhindered access to a <b>lawyer</b> of
Tajikistani legislation the safeguards pertaining	Para. 100 (b)).	witnesses and those	choice at all stages of detention
to those detained on criminal charges are stronger		detained on	and in all detention facilities, to
than those afforded to people held on	The SR recommended that	administrative	independent medical examination and
administrative charges or to witnesses.		charges. (These	to notification of <b>family</b> ;
		questions are in	
Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested	Suggested recommendations
	concerns+recommendations	questions	

The Criminal Procedure Code (CPC) does not require	"(p)roper registration	line with the HRC's	- oblige police to include the names
police to record the identity of the detaining	should include details	evaluation under	of the apprehending officers in the
officers. The lack of this requirement continues	regarding the names of	its follow-up	detention record;
to provide the authorities investigating torture	all the officers involved	procedure, HRC	- oblige judges at <b>remand hearings</b>
allegations with an excuse for claiming it was not	in the detention" (SR	2015).	to inquire into the detainee's
possible to establish the officers' identity.	2015 Para. 14).	- What steps are	treatment in custody and to order an
		taken when law	effective investigation if the
Domestic legislation does not oblige judges at	Recommendations about	enforcement	detainee complains about torture or
remand hearings to ask how the detainees were	safeguards against	officers do not	ill-treatment or if there is any
treated in custody. When detainees themselves	torture at the remand	provide detainees	other indication that he or she may
raise allegations of torture/ill-treatment, judges	hearing can, for example,	access to basic	have been subjected to such
usually do not order an investigation.	be found in Principle 37	safeguards as	treatment.
	of the UN Body of	stipulated by law?	
Concerns about lack of access to basic safeguards	Principles on Detention	Can the Government	
against torture continue also at later stages of	as well as	provide	
detention. For example, domestic law does not	recommendations made by	comprehensive	
grant detainees the right to notify their lawyers	the SR on torture with	statistics on all	
or relatives of transfers between pre-trial	regard to Kenya	cases where	
detention facilities or of their removal from	(E/CN.4/2000/9/Add.4),	sanctions have been	
detention facilities for the purpose of	para. 93(k), p27) and the	imposed on such	
investigative activities, nor are police under an	Committee against Torture	officials,	
obligation to inform the relatives/lawyer. During	with regard to Peru	disaggregated by	
such transfers or removals detainees are at	(A/56/44), para. 160,	the type of penalty	
particular risk of torture. Lawyers continued to	p62).	(disciplinary/crimi	
experience obstacles to visit and communicate with		nal) and the	
their clients in investigation-isolation	The SR urged Tajikistan	government agency	
facilities (SIZOs), the detention facilities under	to "remove all legal and	involved (e.g.	
the jurisdiction of the Justice Ministry, as SIZO	practical obstacles to	Interior Ministry,	
staff often conditioned access on permission by	detainees' unimpeded	State Committee of	
the investigator.	access to independent and	National Security,	
	adequate legal	Drug Control	

	representation" (SR 2015	Agency)?	
	Para. 19).	Agency):	
	rafa. 19).		
Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested	Suggested recommendations
	concerns+recommendations	questions	
Monitoring detention and army facilities:	The CAT and the SR on	- What steps has	- Ratify the <b>OPCAT</b> and establish an
Tajikistan lacks an independent body to conduct	torture recommended	the Government	effective NPM.
effective monitoring of detention and army	Tajikistan to ratify the	taken to establish	- In the meantime, grant unimpeded
facilities. The International Committee of the Red	Optional Protocol to the	an independent	access, in law and in practice, to
Cross (ICRC) has not had access to Tajikistani	Convention against Torture	mechanism	expert independent NGOs working to
detention facilities to conduct monitoring since	and Other Cruel, Inhuman	facilitating the	prevent torture in Tajikistan, to
2004.	or Degrading Treatment or	inspection of	all places of detention as well as
	Punishment (OPCAT) and	detention	to conscription commissions and
In 2014, a Monitoring Group established under the	establish a National	facilities by	military units. Also, provide the
Ombudsman's Office and consisting of Ombudsman	Preventive Mechanism	international and	ICRC with unimpeded access to
Office staff and civil society activists began	(NPM), to grant access to	local NGOs and by	detention facilities.
visiting detention facilities, but there are	the ICRC and human rights	the ICRC? (This	
concerns that the Group does not have access to	groups. (CAT Para. 14(c),	question is in line	
any of the facilities' internal documents and	SR 2013 Para. 100 (h, i)	with the HRC's	
about the Group's ability to conduct unannounced	and SR 2015 Para. 53,	evaluation under	
and unlimited monitoring (e.g. the Group is only	55).	its follow-up	
allowed to conduct a maximum of 15 visits per		procedure, HRC	
year). Other than in the framework of the		2015).	
Monitoring Group, human rights defenders are not			
able to enter detention facilities to conduct			
independent monitoring since domestic legislation			
does not grant them such a right and the heads of			
detention facilities do not grant them access at			
their own discretion.			

Lack of effective complaints mechanisms,	The CAT recommended	- After lodging a	- Establish an effective, accessible
investigations and victims/witness protection: We	Tajikistan to	complaint or	and confidential system for
are aware of many cases in recent years where	"(e)stablish an	report about	receiving and processing <b>complaints</b>
perpetrators of torture or other law enforcement	effective, accessible and	torture, how long	about torture and other ill-
officers threatened victims or their families	confidential system for	does it take until	treatment in all places of detention
and other supporters with reprisals when they	receiving and processing	complainants and	and army facilities.
wanted to lodge complaints about torture or ill-	complaints regarding	witnesses have	- Ensure that complainants and
treatment or after lodging such complaints.	torture or ill-treatment	access to	witnesses are protected against
Although the Law on protecting participants in	in all places of	government	<b>reprisals</b> as soon as the authorities
criminal proceedings grants complainants and	detention" and to	measures	receive the complaint/witness report
witnesses of torture access to protective	"promptly, impartially	protecting them	and that appropriate disciplinary
measures, these measures are only applied after	and effectively"	from reprisals and	or, where relevant, criminal
a criminal case has been opened, which, in	investigate all	how many	measures are imposed against
practice, can be up to 30 days after the	complaints (CAT Para.	complainants/witne	perpetrators for such actions.
authorities received the	14(d)). See also Paras.	sses have	
	9(a) and 11(b).	benefited from	
	5 (a) and 11 (b);	Delletteed Itoli	
Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key		Suggested recommendations
Issues of concern			Suggested recommendations
Issues of concern complaint/report. In those cases where	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested questions	Suggested recommendations - Ensure that all complaints about
	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations	Suggested questions	
complaint/report. In those cases where	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended	Suggested questions protective	- Ensure that all complaints about
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that	Suggested questions protective measures included	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill-
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are <b>investigated promptly</b> ,
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals"	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are <b>investigated promptly</b> , <b>thoroughly and impartially by a</b>
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors,	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv).	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are investigated promptly, thoroughly and impartially by a mechanism that is independent of law
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors, other cases are often investigated by law	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv). See also CAT, Para. 15	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in criminal	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are <b>investigated promptly</b> , <b>thoroughly and impartially by a</b> <b>mechanism that is independent</b> of law enforcement agencies or other
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors, other cases are often investigated by law enforcement agencies whose employees are	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv). See also CAT, Para. 15	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in criminal proceedings?	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are investigated promptly, thoroughly and impartially by a mechanism that is independent of law enforcement agencies or other structures whose employees are
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors, other cases are often investigated by law enforcement agencies whose employees are themselves implicated in the complaint. In many	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv). See also CAT, Para. 15 and SR, Para. 38).	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in criminal proceedings? - What steps have the authorities	- Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill- treatment are <b>investigated promptly</b> , <b>thoroughly and impartially by a</b> <b>mechanism that is independent</b> of law enforcement agencies or other structures whose employees are implicated in the complaints.
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors, other cases are often investigated by law enforcement agencies whose employees are themselves implicated in the complaint. In many cases investigators fail to engage in gathering	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv). See also CAT, Para. 15 and SR, Para. 38). The CAT, the HRC and the	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in criminal proceedings? - What steps have the authorities taken - in law and	<ul> <li>Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill-treatment are investigated promptly, thoroughly and impartially by a mechanism that is independent of law enforcement agencies or other structures whose employees are implicated in the complaints.</li> <li>Suspend any law enforcement</li> </ul>
complaint/report. In those cases where investigations are opened they are frequently conducted effectively. While cases of torture instigated under Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code are usually investigated by prosecutors, other cases are often investigated by law enforcement agencies whose employees are themselves implicated in the complaint. In many cases investigators fail to engage in gathering evidence to study the circumstances of the	UN bodies/procedures: key concerns+recommendations The CAT also recommended to ensure that "complainants do not suffer any reprisals" (CAT, Para. 14 (d)(iv). See also CAT, Para. 15 and SR, Para. 38). The CAT, the HRC and the SR on torture recommended	Suggested questions protective measures included in the Law on protecting participants in criminal proceedings? - What steps have the authorities taken - in law and in practice - to	<ul> <li>Ensure that all complaints about torture and other forms of ill-treatment are investigated promptly, thoroughly and impartially by a mechanism that is independent of law enforcement agencies or other structures whose employees are implicated in the complaints.</li> <li>Suspend any law enforcement officer who is under investigation</li> </ul>

not interview the victims and they do not carry	torture (CAT Para. 11(a),	torture allegations	
		_	
out confrontations of police and victims.	15 , HRC 2013 Para. 14, SR	operate with full	
Instead, investigators often rely on statements	2013 Para. 100 (g)).	independence of law	
obtained from the alleged perpetrators and their		enforcement	
colleagues.		agencies and/or	
		other agencies	
The authorities have repeatedly stated that		implicated in the	
there is no need to set up an independent		complaint and who	
investigation mechanism referring to what they		oversees their	
claimed to be a low number of torture cases.		work?	
However, the statistics the officials have			
referred to only included cases instigated under			
Article 143-1 of the Criminal Code. We believe			
that opening most cases involving torture and			
ill-treatment under other articles of the			
Criminal Code is a conscious attempt to keep			
torture statistics low.			
Evidence extracted under duress: The CPC prohibits	The HRC urged Tajikistan	- Can the	- Ensure in practice that any
the use of evidence extracted under duress.	to "guarantee the	Government provide	statement or confession elicited as
However, the exclusion of such information from	exclusion by the judiciary	comprehensive	a result of <b>torture</b> or ill-treatment
court proceedings is not ensured in practice. In	of evidence obtained under	statistics on how	is <b>not used as evidence</b> in any
recent years judges have more frequently ordered	torture as provided by	many court cases	proceedings except those brought
prosecutors to investigate allegations of	law" (HRC 2013 Para. 14).	were opened for	against the alleged perpetrators.
torture/ill-treatment, but the investigations have	The SR on torture	"excluding evidence	
lacked effectiveness and the lawyers representing	additionally urged	extracted by way of	
the alleged victim did not have access to	Tajikistan to exclude any	torture" (Article	
documents relating to the investigation.	evidence obtained in	88, part 3	
Issues of concern	UN bodies/procedures: key	Suggested	Suggested recommendations
	concerns+recommendations	questions	
In other cases, judges simply dismissed the	violation of due process	of the Criminal	
defendants' allegations without further checking	guarantees and that	Code of	

or they summoned the police officers accused of	confessions should only be	Tajikistan)?	
torture to testify. When they denied the	admissible when given in		
allegations, the judges' review of the torture	the presence of a lawyer		
allegations was closed and no further inquiries	and ratified before a		
were made.	judge (SR 2015 Para. 25).		
Reparation including compensation: In 2014 and	The CAT, the HRC and the	- How do courts	- Provide guidelines to judges to
2015 civil courts awarded compensation for moral	SR on torture called on	establish the size	ensure that the amounts of
harm in five cases involving torture. However, the	Tajikistan to ensure	of moral damages	compensation payments for moral harm
compensation payments were low and neither fair	access of victims of	sustained through	are fair and adequate.
nor adequate. The families of three deceased men	torture to	torture?	- Ensure that victims of torture are
were awarded the equivalents of 710 EUR, 2,015 EUR	redress/reparation,		also granted <b>other forms of</b>
and 6,600 EUR, respectively. Shakhbol Mirzoev, who	including adequate		<b>reparation</b> by the state such as
was tortured so severely that he was left	compensation (CAT Para.		measures of satisfaction, guarantees
paralyzed, was granted an equivalent of 2,900 EUR	10, 21, HRC 2013 Para. 9,		of non-repetition and as full
by a court in 2015 for moral damages. The decision	14, SR 2013 Para. 99(f),		rehabilitation as possible.
was later cancelled and the case is now pending	SR 2015 Para. 43).		
with a military court for a review. Although the			
authorities promised to cover all medical			
expenses, they only covered some. To pay for all			
other medical expenses, Shakhbol's family had to			
sell their house.			